eMar D4.2





Grant Agreement number: 265851

Project acronym: EMAR

Project title: e-Maritime Strategic Framework and Simulation based Validation

Funding Scheme: SST.2010.5.2-5

D4.2 Interfacing e-Maritime with SSN and related developments (v1)

Due date of deliverable:01/02/2014

Actual submission date:

Start date of project: 01/02/2012

Organisation name of lead contractor for this deliverable: UPRC

Duration: 36M

Revision [V1]

Project	Project co-funded by the European Commission within the Seventh Framework Programme (2007-2013)		
Dissemi	Dissemination Level		
PU	Public	Ø	

Contents

1	RATIONALE FOR THIS REPORT	16
2	SSN TODAY	17
2.1	SSN LEGAL BASIS	. 17
2.2	SSN system – Functionalities currently supported (as of January 2013)	. 18
2.3 Report	SSN system - Short term evolution (2013/14/ 15) based on the new XML Reference guide v2.07 and thing Formalities Directive	
2.4	OVERVIEW OF THE SSN ARCHITECTURE	. 20
2.4.2	1 SSN Network organization	. 21
2.4.2	2 SSN interoperability with other EU system	. 28
3	INITIATIVES RELATED TO SSN EVOLUTION	31
3.1	CISE (COMMON INFORMATION SHARING ENVIRONMENT)	. 31
3.2	BLUE BELT	. 35
3.3	IMDATE	. 37
4	SETTING THE SCENE FOR THE FUTURE	38
4.1	THE REPORTING FORMALITIES DIRECTIVE	. 38
4.1.1	1 Reporting formalities resulting from legal acts of the Union	. 39
4.1.2	2 FAL forms and formalities resulting from international legal instruments	. 39
4.1.3	3 Any relevant national legislation	. 40
4.2	EC INITIATIVES TO COLLECT/ AGREE WITH MS THE BUSINESS RULES FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF NSWS	. 40
4.2.2	1 Mandatory/harmonised part of NSWs (eMS conceptual approach)	. 42
4.2.2	2 National additions in NSWs (eMS conceptual approach)	. 42
4.3	THE ANNA PROJECT	. 43
4.4	THE INTEGRATED MARITIME POLICY (IMP) DEMONSTRATOR PROJECT	.44
4.5	THE EUROPEAN E-CUSTOMS INITIATIVE	.44
4.6	INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AND "DE-FACTO" SPECIFICATIONS OF INTEREST	.46
4.6.2	1 The WCO data model and EDIFACT messaging standards	. 46
4.6.2	2 The EDIFACT messaging standards	. 47
4.6.3	3 The Electronic Port Clearance standards of ISO	. 47
4.6.4	4 The SSN XML Reference Guide	. 48
4.6.5	5 The DDNIA specification on ICS	. 48
4.7	EMAR PROJECT INTERACTION WITH EMS, ANNA, EMSA AND NORWEGIAN MARITIME COASTAL ADMINISTRATION	. 49
5	SYSTEM LANDSCAPE OF 2015 AND BEYOND	50
5.1	"Meta-2015" landscape overview	. 50
5.1.2	1 Reporting formalities	. 50
5.1.2	2 CISE	. 52
5.2	eManifest – setting the scene	. 53

5.2.1	eManifest, a tool to facilitate voyages of vessels calling also in third country ports	. 53
5.2.2	2 eManifest, issues to note	. 54
5.3	ROLE OF SSN IN A FUTURE BLUEBELT SERVICE	. 56
5.4	POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE EMAR PROJECT IN THE APPLICATION LAND-SCAPE OF THE "META-2015" ERA	. 57
6	EMAR PROPOSAL FOR NSW RELATED APPLICATIONS – THE OVERARCHING "LOGICAL" VIEW	59
6.1	INTRODUCTION	. 59
6.2	COMMON FUNCTIONAL BLOCKS IN EMAR APPLICATIONS FOR REPORTING FORMALITIES	. 61
6.3	PROPOSAL ON A CONCEPTUAL DATA MODEL (CORE OBJECTS)	. 66
6.4	THE PROPOSED CONTENT FOR THE EMARCRS	. 72
6.4.1	ISO 28005 relevant messages	. 72
6.4.2	2 ICS relevant messages	. 72
6.4.3	3 AnNA messages	. 72
6.4.4 com	4 «Custom» messages to be used for complementary data exchange between eMAR_CRS- pliant business applications	73
6.4.5		
6.4.6		/5
	pliant Authority applications and eMAR_CRS-compliant single windows	. 73
6.4.7	7 References related to message specifications	. 73
6.5	A PROPOSAL ON THE DEFINITION OF THE EMANIFEST NOTIFICATION	. 74
6.6	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE ON THE COMMON MODULES OF THE EMAR APPLICATIONS	. 75
6.6.1	Applicable definitions	. 75
6.6.2	2 epc Message transformer & interface	. 76
6.6.3	B epc data entry and consultation	. 76
6.6.4	Management and configuration	. 78
6.6.5	5 Data processing and storage (reference data)	. 79
6.6.6	5 Reference data exchange	. 79
6.6.7	7 Data processing and storage (ship operations)	. 79
6.6.8	3 Transaction tracing & logging	. 79
6.6.9	9 Access control & security	. 79
7	CONCLUDING REMARKS	86
8	APPENDIX A: THE VTMIS DIRECTIVE	88
9	APPENDIX B: THE REPORTING FORMALITIES DIRECTIVE	102
10 AGR	APPENDIX C: NSW IMPLEMENTATION IN EUROPE / THE BUSINESS RULES FOR DATA EXCHANGE EED BY THE COUNTRIES IMPLEMENTING THE REPORTING FORMALITIES DIRECTIVE	113

List of tables

TABLE 1 SSN MECHANISMS FOR INFORMATION EXCHANGE (LAST QUARTER OF 2013)	23
TABLE 2 CISE- ARCHITECTURE "VISIONS"	33
TABLE 3 Core business concepts for eMar applications for ship/ cargo reporting formalities	s 68
TABLE 4 ROLES THAT COULD BE ASSIGNED TO AN ACTOR BASED ON THEIR BUSINESS PROFILE	81
TABLE 5 ACCESS RIGHT TYPES	83
TABLE 6 FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DEFAULT SET OF RESTRICTIONS (INDICATIVE)	84

List of figures

FIGURE 1 CENTRAL SSN SYSTEM AND ITS INTERFACES TO NATIONAL AND OTHER EU SYSTEMS (SOURCE : SSN XML REFERENCE GUIDE V2.07)	0
FIGURE 2 SSN ARCHITECTURE (SOURCE: SSN IFCD)2	1
FIGURE 3 SSN – DATA NOTIFICATION PROCESS	4
FIGURE 4 SSN REQUEST/ RESPONSE PROCESS	6
FIGURE 5 INCIDENT DISTRIBUTION PROCESS	8
FIGURE 6 EU SYSTEMS CURRENTLY INTERFACED TO SSN (SOURCE: SSN IFCD)	9
FIGURE 7 SINGLE WINDOW DATA FLOWS ACCORDING TO EMS CONCEPTUAL APPROACH	1
FIGURE 8 TAXUD SINGLE WINDOW DESIGN APPROACH	5
FIGURE 9 OVERVIEW OF INFORMATION EXCHANGES FOR ICS PHASE 1 (SOURCE DDNIA VERSION 9.0 EN) 4	9
FIGURE 10 APPLICATION LANDSCAPE IN EUROPE FOLLOWING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RFD	0
FIGURE 11 COMMON FUNCTIONAL BLOCKS IN EMAR- COMPLIANT APPLICATIONS FOR BUSINESS AND/ OR GOVERNMENT	3
FIGURE 12 LOGICAL MODEL FOR MARITIME APPLICATION/ CORE BUSINESS OBJECTS	7
FIGURE 13 WIREFRAME OF THE DASHBOARD FOR THE PORT CALL PLANNER & SHIP REPORTER APPLICATION	7

Document summary Information

Authors and contributors

Initial	Name	Organisation	Role
IM	Ilias Maglogiannis	UPRC	Main Author
IK	Ioannis Koliousis	UPRC	Contributor
NT	Nikos Tsirkas	UPRC	Contributor

Revision history

Revision	Date	Who	Comment
Draft v1	03/2/13	IM	Table of Contents of the deliverable
V1.1	1/7/13	IM	First Draft
V1.3	15/7/13	IM	Updated draft
V1.5	15/10/13	IM	Updated draft
V2.0	1/2/14	IM	Final Draft
V2.1	1/5/14	IM	Post review version addressing comments from the
			reviewers of the deliverable

Quality control

Role	Who	Date
Quality manager	JTP	04/02/2014
Project manager	JG	04/02/2014
Technical manager	ТК	04/02/2014

Disclaimer

The content of the publication herein is the sole responsibility of the publishers and it does not necessarily represent the views expressed by the European Commission or its services.

While the information contained in the documents is believed to be accurate, the authors(s) or any other participant in the EMAR consortium make no warranty of any kind with regard to this material including, but not limited to the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.

Neither the EMAR Consortium nor any of its members, their officers, employees or agents shall be responsible or liable in negligence or otherwise howsoever in respect of any inaccuracy or omission herein.

Without derogating from the generality of the foregoing neither the EMAR Consortium nor any of its members, their officers, employees or agents shall be liable for any direct or indirect or

consequential loss or damage caused by or arising from any information advice or inaccuracy or omission herein.

Abbreviations & Definitions

Term	Definition
Aggregation (of	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
information)	A function where requested information from multiple sources are grouped
	together to form a single response e.g. a list or a set.
Agreement	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	A contract between one or more authorities acting as information providers and
	one or more authorities acting as information consumer to define the term and
	conditions for accessing and providing services. Can be bi-lateral (between 2
	authorities) or community agreement (between more than 2 authorities). May
	include service level specifications in the form of Service Level Agreements (refer
	to SLAs).
AIS Regional Server	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
	A server that a group of MSs agrees to maintain1 in accordance with the security
	and reliability requirements of the SSN system and to use to relay AIS data from
	their national SSN systems to the central SSN system. It may include data
	collection, storage, backup and re-distribution, as well as monitoring the
	availability and quality of the data. For these functionalities, and as long as the MSs
	concerned request to use it as an alternative to the direct connection to the
	central SSN system, the AIS Regional Server will be considered to be a component
	of the central SSN system.
Application	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	Software designed to perform specific tasks and that exposes certain
	functionalities through interfaces.
Architecture	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	The structure of components, their inter-relationships, and the principles and
	guidelines governing their design and evolution over time.
Architecture building	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
blocks	A constituent of the architecture model that describes a single aspect of the overall
	model. These elements typically describe required capability and shape the
	specification of Solution Building Blocks.
Authentication	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
	The process of determining whether someone or something is who or what it is
	declared to be.
Authorisation	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
	The process of granting access rights to a user.
Authority (or public	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
authority)	Any organisation that has an interest in maritime data. An authority can be local,
	regional, national or European level.
	Throughout this document, the terms authority and public authority are used
	interchangeably.
Broadcasting	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	A type of message distribution where a message is sent to all members, rather
Control CutoControl	than specific members, of a group such as a department or enterprise.
Central SafeSeaNet	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
system	This comprises those SSN components (both technical and procedural)
	which act as the central/nodal point for the exchange of information
	between national SSN systems. Such components are the responsibility of
	the Commission, in close cooperation with the MSs, and are administered

Dy EMSA on their behalf. Classified information (Definition from SSN IFCD) Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/844/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information Sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person nay be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning trest Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Confidentiality (Definition from TSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Correlation (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between the information exchange model, transport protocol and service interf	Term	Definition
Classified information (Definition from SSN IFCD) Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/84/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning tests Flan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Conflictnality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Conflictnality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Conflictnality (Definition from the CSS Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and proces		
Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/RA4/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unau		
Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/RA4/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unau		
Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/RA4/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unau		
Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/RA4/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unau		
Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/RA4/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unau		
Varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Provides access to all files Internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provides informations on Socurity). Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial Socurate Society (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and anyces (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. <tr< th=""><th>Classified information</th><th>(Definition from SSN IFCD)</th></tr<>	Classified information	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/844/EC amending its internat Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information Sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other node		Any information and material, an unauthorised disclosure of which could cause
Member States, third States or international organisations (in accordance with Commission Decision 2001/844/EC amending its Internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information Use finition from SSN IFCD) Information Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system. Complexity (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Coordinator (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from SN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can havev programmed or engineered capability to recognise		varying degrees of prejudice to EU interests, or to one or more of its Member
Commission Decision 2001/844/EC amending its internal Rules of Procedure by annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information Sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The vocess that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. <th></th> <th>States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from</th>		States, whether such information originates within the EU or is received from
annexing Commission Provisions on Security). Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Conditator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information reguined by the SSN legal framework to other MSS through the SSN system. Data provider		
Clustered system (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning Test Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from SN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to		
An architecture that ties together authority systems with the use of nodes. Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (ap person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The swhich assess whether national SSN system. Complexity (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Data (De		
Clustering provides access to all files from any of the clustered nodes regardless of the physical location of the file. Commercial information sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange of determine what relationships between th	Clustered system	
the physical location of the file. Commercial information Sensitive (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from the central SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relatonships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision D		
Commercial information (Definition from SSN IFCD) Information Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Correlation information) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (suc		
information Information that is likely to prejudice the commercial interest of any person (a person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Complexity (Definition from SSN IFCD) Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) Condinator (Definition from SSN IFCD) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Condinator (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Correlation (of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vis		
person may be an individual, a company, the public authority or any other legal entity). Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Correlation information from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data become		
commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Correlation information wher requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A struct protocol and service interface. (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A struct on where requested information from multiple sourc	Information	
Commissioning tests (Definition from SSN IFCD) Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information. Data provider (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN sys		
Tests which assess whether national SSN systems support the reliable, timely and accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system.Complexity(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures.Confidentiality(Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities.Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user vho provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting informati	Commissioning tosts	
accurate exchange of information within the SSN system (as defined in the MS Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Correlation inform the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where interface. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from SN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users. Data user (Definit	commissioning tests	
Commissioning Tests Plan). The commissioning process covers all SSN messages transmitted to/from the central SSN system. Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Correlation information (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information. Data provider (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through t		
transmitted to/from the central SSN system.Complexity(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements.Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures.Confidentiality(Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities.Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
Complexity (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) The number of relationships between elements. Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. Confidentiality (Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities. Coordinator (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface. Correlation information) (of determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information. Data provider (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs throu		
The number of relationships between elements.Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures.Confidentiality(Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities.Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	Complexity	
Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures.Confidentiality(Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities.Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.		
Confidentiality(Definition from SSN IFCD) The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities.Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorized entities.Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures.
Unauthorized entities.Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems. Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	Confidentiality	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
Coordinator(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		The process that ensures that information is not made available or disclosed to
A type of a node that clusters other nodes. Similarly to nodes, coordinators can have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
Ave have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	Coordinator	
aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		have programmed or engineered capability to recognise and process (e.g.
transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.
transport protocol and service interface.Correlation information)(of A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
Correlation information)(of (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end 		
information)A function where requested information from multiple sources are analysed to determine what relationships between the information exist.Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	a 1.11	
determine what relationships between the information exist. Data (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information. Data provider (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
Data(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	information)	
Facts represented in a readable language (such as numbers, characters, images, or other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information.Data provider(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users.Data user(Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	Data	
other methods of recording) on a durable medium. Data on its own carries no meaning, but when given context, data becomes information. Data provider (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	Data	
meaning, but when given context, data becomes information. Data provider (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
Data provider (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
An authorised SSN user who provides information required by the SSN legal framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	Data provider	
framework to other MSs through the SSN system, and makes it available to end users. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
users. Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
Data user (Definition from SSN IFCD) An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.		
An authorised SSN user requesting information required by the SSN legal framework from other MSs through the SSN system.	Data user	
Digital Certificate (Definition from SSN IFCD)		framework from other MSs through the SSN system.
	Digital Certificate	(Definition from SSN IFCD)

Term	Definition
	A digitally signed statement that certifies the binding between the owner's identity
	information and his/her electronic public key.
EIS	European Index Server (one of the central SSN system applications)
Encryption	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
	The Cryptographic transformation of data into a form that conceals the data's
	original meaning to prevent it from being known or used by unauthorized entities.
Exchange mechanism	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
	Constitutes the entire electronic data interchange system, including the
	transmission, message flow, document format, and software used to interpret the
	documents.
eMS	Group of experts from EU Member States dealing with maritime administrative
	simplification and electronic information services.
Fusion (of information)	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	A function where requested information from multiple sources are blended to
	form a single response.
	Fusion of data fills information gaps and can reduce the uncertainty in information
	received from various sources.
Gateway	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	A connection point in a network. The gateway converts information, data or other
	communications from one protocol or format to another.
	Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model,
	transport protocol and service interface.
High Level Steering	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
Group on SafeSeaNet	The group defined in Annex III of Directive 2002/59/EC (as amended), which
(HLSG)	comprises MS and Commission representatives, and which has the tasks defined in
	Commission decision 2009/584/EC of 31 July 2009. The HLSG shall:
	- make recommendations to improve the effectiveness and security of
	SafeSeaNet;
	 provide appropriate guidance for the development of SafeSeaNet;
	 assist the Commission in reviewing the performance of SafeSeaNet, and;
la fa ma ati a a	 approve the IFCD document and any amendments thereto.
Information	Contextual meaning associated with, or derived from, data.
Information consumer	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	A role assumed by a participant to facilitate interaction and connectivity in the use of services.
Information ovehange	
Information exchange model	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A logical representation to illustrate the structure, semantics, and relationships of
moder	information.
Information owner	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
mjormation owner	A user who ensures the consistency and validity of information. They define the
	security needs of the information for which they are responsible.
	Information ownership means identifying which participants have the right to
	change information, together with their obligation to determine impact and notify
	all impacted parties. Typically, each authority as the owner of its information may
	define the rules for access to its information.
Information provider	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	A role assumed by a participant to facilitate interaction and connectivity in the
	exchange of information.
Information source	Authentic provenance of the information.
Integrity	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
	The process that ensures the accuracy and completeness of information.
	The process that ensures the accuracy and completeness of information.

Term	Definition
Interoperability	Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	Interoperability, within the context of European public service delivery, is the
	ability of disparate and diverse organisations to interact towards mutually
	beneficial and agreed common goals, involving the sharing of information and
	knowledge between the organisations, through the business processes they
	support, by means of the exchange of data between their respective ICT systems.
Interoperability	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
agreement	Means of reaching consensus on a common information sharing interface (also referred to as service interface) through which services can be offered. There are 3
	different types of interoperability agreements: semantic, technical and
	organisational
Interoperability	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
framework	An interoperability framework is an agreed approach to interoperability for
	organisations that wish to work together towards the joint delivery of public
	services. Within its scope of applicability, it specifies a set of common elements
	such as vocabulary, concepts, principles, policies, guidelines, recommendations,
	standards, specifications and practices.
Intricacy	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
	The state of containing a large number of parts or details.
	Acts as an information sharing barrier in tashnology architectures
License	Acts as an information sharing barrier in technology architectures. (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)
License	A licence is a document containing provisions allowing or restricting actions and
	uses normally reserved for the copyright holder.
Local Competent	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
Authority (LCA)	These are authorities or organisations designated by MSs to receive and
	transmit information pursuant to the SSN legal framework (e.g. port
	authorities, coastal stations, Vessel Traffic Services, shore-based
	installations responsible for a mandatory ship's routing system or a
	mandatory ship reporting system approved by the IMO and bodies
	responsible for coordinating search and rescue operations).
Maritime Support	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
Services (MSS)	The 24/7 EMSA service responsible for monitoring the EU maritime transport
	operational systems (in particular SSN) for the exchange between MSs (and some
	participating third countries) of information on ships, their voyages, their cargoes
	and incidents at sea (including accidents and pollution). The MSS is permanently
	monitoring the data quality in, and the performance and continuity of, the
	operational systems. It also provides a helpdesk facility to the SSN Community and
	supports the prompt mobilisation of EMSA's contracted oil pollution response
MSW	vessels following a MS request. Maritime Single Window
MS	Member States
National Competent	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
Authority (NCA)	The body which assumes responsibility for a national SSN system and its
	management on behalf of a MS. It is responsible for the operation, verification and
	maintenance of the national SSN system, and for ensuring that the standards and
	procedures comply with the requirements described within the IFCD and with the
	agreed technical and operational documentation. The NCA responsibilities are
	defined in Annex
National SafeSeaNet	(Definition from SSN IFCD)
system (national SSN	This comprises technical and procedural SSN elements which support the
system)	provision, retrieval and use of information required to implement the SSN legal
	framework within an MS. These elements are the responsibility of the relevant MS

Term	Definition	
	and can be administered either directly by the NCA, via the establishment of LCAs	
	or by setting up other appropriate arrangements with third parties.	
NCA 24/7	(Definition from SSN IFCD)	
	The contact point at national level used for 24/7 operational contacts between	
	MSs and with the EMSA MSS.	
Node	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)	
	A connection point in a network that clusters authority systems or other nodes. In	
	general, a node has programmed or engineered capability to recognise and	
	process (e.g. aggregate) or forward messages to other nodes or authority systems.	
	Implements specifications e.g. commonly agreed information exchange model,	
	transport protocol and service interface.	
Non-repudiation	(Definition from SSN IFCD)	
	The process that ensures that the entities involved in a communication cannot	
	deny having participated (e.g. sending entity cannot deny having sent a message).	
Notification	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)	
	A service that can be used to inform many authorities at once (e.g. by broadcast).	
Notification	(Definition from SSN IFCD)	
	Required information sent by the national SSN systems to the central SSN system	
to inform the SSN community of an event related to a vessel or an incident		
NSW	National Single Window	
Operational	(Definition from SSN IFCD)	
requirements	Requirements which focus on the operational usability of SSN, and which define the information, business rules and responsibilities that should be respected	
	during SSN system operation. Operational requirements derive from the legal	
	framework, as interpreted by decisions taken by the HLSG or SSN groups and	
	recorded in SSN documentation.	
Password	(Definition from SSN IFCD)	
	A string of characters used to authenticate the identity of a user. The format of	
	passwords used in SSN is given in the SSN Technical and Operational Documents.	
Payload (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)		
	The essential bits of data that are being carried within a message "packe	
	The essential bits of data that are being carried within a message "packer payload does not include the "overhead" data required to get the packer	
	destination.	
PCS	Port Community System	
Personal Data	(Definition from SSN IFCD)	
	Any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural living person ('data	
	subject'); an identifiable person is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly,	
	in particular by reference to an identification number.	
Principle	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)	
	They provide for a high level design rationale, which must always be taken into	
Duonoutionality	account when creating, changing or removing any CISE-related element.	
Proportionality	<i>(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)</i> Similarly to the principle of subsidiarity, the principle of proportionality regulates	
	the exercise of powers by the European Union. It seeks to set actions taken by the	
	institutions of the Union within specified bounds. Under this rule, the involvement	
	of the institutions must be limited to what is necessary to achieve the objectives of	
	the Treaties. In other words, the content and form of the action must be in keeping	
	with the aim pursued.	
	The principle of proportionality is laid down in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. The criteria for applying it is set out in the Protocol (No 2) on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality annexed to the Treaties.	

Protocol (or transport protocol) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A set of procedures in information exchange that the authority systems or nodes use to send messages back and forth. Networks and systems cannot communicate unless they use the same protocol or make use of a gateway. PSC Port State Control PSC Directive Directive 2009/16/EC on port State control PSW Port Single Window Request (or information request) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a commor information exchange model. Request/response mechanism (Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually presen	
use to send messages back and forth. Networks and systems cannot communicate unless they use the same protocol or make use of a gateway.PSCPort State ControlPSC DirectiveDirective 2009/16/EC on port State controlPSWPort Single WindowRequest (or information request)(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model.Request/response mechanism(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN.Requirement(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information organisation, etc.RFDReporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSsRouting(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
unless they use the same protocol or make use of a gateway. PSC Port State Control PSC Directive Directive 2009/16/EC on port State control PSW Port Single Window Request (or information request) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model. Request/response mechanism (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)	
PSC Port State Control PSC Directive Directive 2009/16/EC on port State control PSW Port Single Window Request (or information request) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model. Request/response mechanism (Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)	
PSC Directive Directive 2009/16/EC on port State control PSW Port Single Window Request (or information request) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model. Request/response mechanism (Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
PSW Port Single Window Request (or information request) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model. Request/response mechanism (Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
Request (or information request) (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model. Request/response mechanism (Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
request)A message sent from an information consumer to an information provider, asking for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model.Request/response mechanism(Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN.Requirement(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information organisation, etc.RFDReporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSsRouting(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
for information according to a certain criteria with the use of a common information exchange model.Request/response mechanism(Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN.Requirement(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information organisation, etc.RFDReporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSsRouting(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
information exchange model. Request/response mechanism (Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
Request/response mechanism (Definition from SSN IFCD) This describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
mechanismThis describes the activities to be carried out when a MS requests detailed information on a notification via SSN.Requirement(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc.RFDReporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSsRouting(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
information on a notification via SSN. Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
Requirement (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
Determine the expectations of the stakeholders with regards to information sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
sharing and discovery, information assurance and security, collaboration organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
organisation, etc. RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
RFD Reporting Formalities Directive A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
A Directive of the European Cmmission coming into force on 1/6/2015 dealing with the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
the reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
MSs Routing (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document) Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
Routing(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
Functionality of forwarding messages without the information consumer and provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
provider having to know each other. Usually present in nodes and coordinators.	
SafeSeaNet authority (Definition from SSN IFCD)	
(SSN authority) These are authorities defined as NCAs, LCAs and EMSA, on beha	
European Commission for the central SSN system. This covers both	
"Competent authorities" and "Port authorities" as defined in Article 3 or	
2002/59/EC as amended.	
SafeSeaNet Group (SSN (Definition from SSN IFCD)	
group) The working group, which comprises representatives from MSs, the	
Commission and EMSA with responsibility for managing technical and	
operational issues relating to SSN with tasks as defined in section 1.6.	
SafeSeaNet system (SSN (Definition from SSN IFCD)	
system) This comprises both the national and central SSN systems.	
SafeSeaNet user (SSN (Definition from SSN IFCD)	
<i>user)</i> This refers to a person or persons performing the same function and	
position (e.g. duty officers on shift work within a single MRCC or VTS	
centre) (i.e. an SSN Web user using a browser-based web interface a	
central, national or local level) or a system (at national level the nationa	
SSN system, and at local level the LCA systems).	
Sea basin (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)	
This refers to the EU sea regions: Baltic Sea, Black Sea, Mediterranean Sea, North	
Sea, the Atlantic and the Arctic Ocean.	
Service (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)	
A unit of functionality that an authority exposes to other participants of CISE	
These services are accessible through a service interface.	

Term	Definition		
Service interface	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)		
	A point of access where a service is made available to another application.		
Service Level Agreement	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)		
	A service-level agreement (SLA) is a contract between an information provider and		
	an information consumer that specifies, usually in measurable terms, what services		
	the information provider will furnish. Some metrics that SLAs may specify include:		
	What percentage of the time services will be available;		
	The number of users that can be; served simultaneously;		
	Specific performance benchmarks;		
	Help desk response time.		
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol, is a protocol specification for exchanging structured		
	information in the implementation of web services in computer networks		
Solution Building Block	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)		
	Represent the actual components that will be used to implement the required		
	capability.		
S-TESTA (Definition from SSN IFCD)			
	A private network that gives public administrations access to modern		
	telecommunications services for daily dealings with other public sector		
	bodies across Europe. Its purpose is to provide European institutions and		
	agencies, as well as administrations in the MSs, with network infrastructure		
	that ensures the easy, reliable exchange of data.		
	that ensures the easy, reliable exchange of data.		
STIRES	SafeSeaNet Information, Relay and Exchange System, a software application of the		
	central SSN system		
Subscription (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)			
	An agreement between the information provider and the information consumer		
	for providing, receiving or making use of information in a continuing or periodic		
Subsidiarity	nature. (Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)		
Subsidiarity	The principle of subsidiarity aims at determining the level of intervention that is		
	most relevant in the areas of competences shared between the EU and the		
	Member States. This may concern action at European, national or local levels. In all		
	cases, the EU may only intervene if it is able to act more effectively than Member		
	States.		
System Security	(Definition from SSN IFCD)		
information	Information which requires protection as its public or unauthorised		
	disclosure would reveal privileged or confidential information related to		
	persons, systems, operations and/or facilities.		
Traceability	(Definition from SSN IFCD)		
	Traceability is the process to verify the history, location, or application o		
	the information by means of documented recorded identification.		
Translator	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)		
	An application that converts the information of an authority legacy system to the		
	structure of the commonly agreed information exchange model of CISE and		
	versa. Without the translator information cannot be exchanged between CISE		
111/100005	participants.		
UN/LOCODE	(Definition from SSN IFCD) The United Nations Code for Trade and Transport Locations (UN/LOCODE)		
	The United Nations Code for Trade and Transport Locations (UN/LOCODE)		

Term	Definition		
	is an international, geographical coding scheme which has been developed		
	and maintained by the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe		
	(UNECE).		
Unclassified information	n (Definition from SSN IFCD)		
	Information that can be released to individuals without a clearance except		
	when it is deemed personal or sensitive.		
User community	(Definition from the CISE Architecture Vision Document)		
	A user community is composed of a set of public authorities, which are bound		
	together by their function e.g. customs, marine environment, maritime safety and		
	security, defence, fisheries control, border control.		
XML	Extensible Markup Language (XML) is a computerlanguage that defines a set of		
	rules for encoding documents in a format that is both human-readable and		
	machine-readable		
VAS	Value adding Service		
VTMIS Directive	Directive 2002/59/EC (as amended by the 2009/17/EC) establishing a Community		
	vessel traffic monitoring and information system and repealing Council Directive		
	93/75/EEC		

Executive summary

This report includes (in the chapters 2 to 5) an extensive analysis of the current state of play and forthcomings in the e-Maritime domain. The analysis is made from the perspective of systems operated by Administrations at national or EU level with a special focus on those:

- Interacting with SSN currently;
- Those that shall interact with it or in the near future (NSWs).

We tried, via the analysis of collected information, to identify how the work in eMar project can contribute in practical terms in the development of *an integrated information management system* in Europe based on existing systems such as **SSN**. It is identified that the greater challenges, that eMar work should focus, relates with:

- 1. The implementation of reporting gateways and Maritime Single Windows for port clearance, border controls on persons and maritime declaration of health.
- 2. The collection and distribution of cargo information in the form of a harmonized eManifest.
- 3. The interoperability framework between Maritime Authorities, Custom Authorities and Industry.

In all these three areas eMar may contribute by:

- a. Proposing a reference specification for the data exchange mechanism utilized for maritime and customs formalities. This would be based on a modification of the CRS initially developed in the eFreight project taking into account recent developments, especially the work carried out by eMS Group and AnNA project on business rules and data mapping. The conceptual model is presented in the section 6.3 and the proposed modifications in the section 6.4)
- b. Proposing a conceptual approach on the content of the eManifest based on a principle of full re-usability of previously reported data (refer to 6.5)
- c. Devising and proposing reference specifications for a number of "interoperable" applications, which could be utilized in a multi-node environment for the collection and distribution of information related to port and cargo clearance (refer to the sections 6.1, 6.2 and 6.6)

Part I – Review of SafeSeaNet and relevant European initiatives in light of eMaritime developments in Europe

1 Rationale for this report

Editor note

The quotation below (providing the rationale for this report) is extracted from the COM(2009) 8 final *"Strategic goals and recommendations for the EU's maritime transport policy until 2018"*

"Looking ahead to 2018, the capacities of the EU's maritime transport system should be strengthened by putting in place **an integrated information management system** to enable the **identification, monitoring, tracking and reporting** of all vessels **at sea** and **on inland waterways to and from European ports and in transit through or in close proximity to EU waters**.

Such a system would be part of the e-Maritime Initiative and **develop into an integrated EU system providing e-services** at the different levels of the transport chain. In that regard, the system should be able to interface with the e-Freight, e-Customs and Intelligent Transport Systems¹, allowing the users to track and trace the cargo not only during the waterborne part of the journey, but across all transport modes in a true spirit of co-modality.

In a broader context, building on the resources currently available, such as AIS, LRIT, **SafeSeaNet** or CleanSeaNet, or those that are being developed, such as Galileo and GMES, and taking into account the need to fully develop EUROSUR², the EU should promote the creation of a platform to ensure the **convergence** of sea-, land- and space-based technologies, the **integrity** of applications and appropriate **management and control of information** on a "**need-to-know**" basis. Civil-military cooperation should be promoted in order to avoid duplication.

The Commission is also working towards the creation of an integrated cross-border and crosssectoral EU surveillance system³. One of its key objectives is to set up an exchange of information networks amongst national authorities, with a view to increasing interoperability of surveillance activities, improving the effectiveness of the operations at sea and facilitating the implementation of the relevant Community legislation and policies⁴.

³ SEC(2008) 2737, 3.11.2008.

¹ COM(2007) 607, 18.10.2007.

² Cf. Council Conclusions on 5.6.2008 with regard to the future development of Frontex, the Eurosur and the future challenges of EU external border management.

⁴ An overview of the actions undertaken in 2008 is available in SEC (2008) 3727 of 13.10.2008 and a Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament on maritime surveillance is foreseen for 2009.

2 SSN today

Editor's note

The information in this chapter is based on the SafeSeaNet Interface and Functionalities Control Document (SSN IFCD) and the SSN XML reference guide v.07. The complete text of these documents is available at http://www.emsa.europa.eu/documents/technical-documentation.html.

References to EU initiatives linked to SSN are sourced from a variety of sources, the main been the EMSA and European Commission sites.

SafeSeaNet (SSN) is a European network encompassing all the EU Member States as well as Iceland and Norway acting as the European Platform for Maritime Data Exchange between maritime Administrations. Its role is to ensure the implementation of Community legislation. It is composed of a network of national SafeSeaNet systems in Member States and a SafeSeaNet central system acting as a nodal point.

2.1 SSN legal basis

Following the accident of the ERIKA off the French coast in 1999, the European Union adopted several legal instruments for improving the prevention of accidents at sea and combating marine pollution. Directive 2002/59/EC of the European Parliament and Council of 27 June 2002 as amended establishing a Community vessel traffic monitoring and information system and repealing Council Directive 93/75/EEC, aims at establishing in the Community, a vessel traffic monitoring and information system *"with a view to enhancing the safety and efficiency of maritime traffic, improving the response of authorities to incidents, accidents or potentially dangerous situations at sea, including search and rescue operations and contributing to a better prevention and detection of pollution by ships"*. To achieve this goal, in 2001 the European Commission launched development of a European network - the so-called SafeSeaNet. SafeSeaNet is currently operated in accordance with European Parliament and Council Directive 2002/59/EC of 27 June 2002 as amended by the Directive 2009/17/EC, establishing a Community vessel traffic monitoring and information system.

The legal requirements which relate to SSN, are defined by the following legal documents:

- 1. Directive 2002/59/EC as amended (establishing a Community vessel traffic monitoring and information system);
- Directive 2000/59/EC (on port reception facilities for ship-generated waste and cargo residues);
- 3. Directive 2009/16/EC (on port State control);
- 4. Directive 2010/65/EU (entering into implementation in June 2015) (on reporting formalities for ships arriving in and/or departing from ports of the MSs)] and;
- 5. Regulation (EC) No 725/2004 (on enhancing ship and port facility security)

2.2 SSN system – Functionalities currently supported (as of January 2013)

The aforementioned legal Acts of the Union requires the collection and distribution of various kinds of data. These concern vessel traffic monitoring, dangerous cargo details, incidents and accidents reports, information related to ships' waste and security. SafeSeaNet improves the exchange through better standardization and efficient implementation of EU maritime safety legislation.

By enabling the exchange of vessel and voyage related information, the SSN system supports users at EU and MS level in:

- The efficient and timely response to incidents or pollution at sea in progress including search and rescue operations;
- The monitoring of ships that pose a potential risk to the safety of shipping and the environment, including those involved in incidents, thus allowing for earlier precautionary actions and risk mitigation at sea by coastal states;
- The effective collection of information in support of the PSC inspection regime;
- The effective collection of the required security information prior to ship's entry into a port of a Member State and facilitate the sharing of vessel position information for other security purposes;
- The management of flag State responsibilities, including the follow up of ships involved in incidents/accidents;
- The efficiency of port logistics;
- The gathering and comparison of objective and reliable information on maritime safety and on pollution by ships, thus enabling users to take the necessary steps to improve maritime safety and the prevention of ship-generated pollution, and to evaluate the effectiveness of existing measures.

SSN is a functionality-rich system. The functionalities introduced to the system are agreed by the SSN group following decisions of the SSN HLSG (refer to definitions). Additional functionality may be incorporated in the SSN system, subject to approval by these two Groups.

In the present release of the IFCD, the functionalities are split into two distinct sections:

- Mandatory system functionalities.
- Additional system functionalities.

The mandatory SSN system functionalities are the sending, receipt, storage, retrieval and exchange of information by electronic means required by the SSN legal framework. SSN currently supports the exchange of the following information:

- 1. **Port call information:** Pre-arrival information sent to ports 24 hours in advance and information on ship arrivals and departures (as per Article 4 of Directive 2002/59/EC as amended and Articles 9 and 24 of Directive 2009/16/EC). In addition, 72 hours pre-arrival information if no other national arrangement is in place.
- 2. **Hazmat information:** Information on the carriage of dangerous and marine polluting goods (as per Articles 4, 13 and 14 of Directive 2002/59/EC as amended).
- 3. Incident information: Information on accidents and incidents which have occurred at sea (as per Articles 16, 17 and 25 of Directive 2002/59/EC as amended) and information on ships, which have not delivered their ship-generated waste and cargo residues (as per Articles 11.2.d and 12.3 of Directive 2000/59/EC).

4. **Position information:** AIS, MRS and LRIT⁵ information (as per Articles 5, 6.b, 9 and 23 of Directive 2002/59/EC as amended).

The additional system functionalities are related but not limited to:

- 1. Statistics;
- 2. Email warnings for giving an indication that there is Incident Report information available in SSN;
- 3. Background information display (e.g. nautical charts);
- 4. System monitoring tools, and;
- 5. Secondary or reference data sources (e.g. SSN users contact details, ship particulars, special lists of ships).

2.3 SSN system - Short term evolution (2013/14/ 15) based on the new XML Reference guide v2.07 and the Reporting Formalities Directive

In 2012 the SSN group decided to improve the current data exchange framework of incident reports exchange by including a new data exchange mechanism enabling the "pushing" of incident information provided to central SSN system to MS. The new mechanism is documented in the XML Reference guide v2.07 and could be implemented by MS on voluntary basis from the last quarter of 2013 onwards.

Furthermore, considering the legal requirements in the RFD, it is anticipated that the following functionalities shall be exchanged via the SSN in the near future (in compliance with the XML Reference guide v3.0⁶:

- 1. **Security information:** Prior to ship's entry into a port of a Member State, security information should be sent in accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) 725/2004 taking into account the provisions on exemptions according to Article 7 and the Annex to Directive 2010/65/EC.
- 2. Waste and cargo residues information: Prior to ship's entry into a port of a Member State, ship-generated waste and cargo residues information should be sent in accordance with Article 6 of Directive 2000/59/EC taking into account the provisions on exemptions according to Article 9.
- 3. **Exemption Information: Following** the implementation of the RFD, the information already recorded in the SSN central system on pre-arrival information and HAZMAT exemptions will be exchanged, on request, via XML messages. Currently such information is exchanged via the SSN web interface only. In should be also anticipated that security exemptions will be exchanged via XML (on request) while it is unclear whether waste exemptions will be exchanged too.

⁵ The currently available version of the SSN enables "flag" state users to visualize in the system's graphical user interface the, so-called, "mandatory" LRIT reports that are provided by ships four times per day every 6 hours. The full distribution of LRIT data to MSs through SSN is under development and shall be implemented in future releases of the system.

⁶ A draft version of the XML Reference Guide v.3.0 could be downloaded from EMSA's side (<u>http://emsa.europa.eu/documents/workshop-presentations-a-reports.html</u>, download SSN Workshop 20 documentation).

2.4 Overview of the SSN architecture

As mentioned above, the SSN system architecture comprises two main layers:

- National SSN systems.
- The central SSN system.

At central SSN level the system interacts with several EU systems. The following illustration (extracted from the SSN XML Reference Guide v2.07) outlines the SafeSeaNet system global architecture.



Figure 1 Central SSN System and its interfaces to national and other EU systems (source : SSN XML Reference Guide v2.07)

The SSN central system is built following a service oriented architecture approach. The components depicted in the diagram above are implemented within two major applications:

a. The European Index Server (EIS)

Hosting the SSN textual interface the SSN messaging interface (XML/ SOAP) and the administration and configuration services of the whole SSN central system

b. The SafeSeaNet Information, Relay and Exchange System (STIRES)

Hosting the SSN graphical Interface (GIS-based) and the SSN streaming interface

An overview of the architecture of the SSN system is provided below within this deliverable. Where appropriate are highlighted the functionalities of the central SSN system implemented by EIS or STIRES applications of SSN.

2.4.1 SSN Network organization

Figure 2 describes the principles of the SSN system, according to the architecture description within the IFCD.



Figure 2 SSN architecture (source: SSN IFCD)

- The National SSN systems provide information to the central SSN system in the form of notifications. Authorized users within the SSN Community can retrieve information related to these notifications. The central SSN system locates and retrieves this information and provides it to the data user. The NCA may at national level establish a centralized system where all relevant information is registered, stored and exchanged. Alternatively, the details relating to notifications may be stored in the servers of the LCAs.
- While the central SSN system stores some information which enables rapid, effective response to users' requests, detailed information may be stored at national level. When the notifiable information is changed by the data provider, a notification is provided to the central SSN system, and information is updated accordingly.
- LCAs may be data providers as well as data users at local level. LCAs, depending on national architecture choices, may interact with the NCA centralized system (in case such a system is established), via the NCA's system web interface and/ or via a system interface.

The central SSN system provides different alternative mechanisms to the national SSN systems in order to enable the mandatory exchange of information. These are:

- 1. Message-based mechanism (EIS): A mechanism which allows individual messages to be exchanged between the national and central SSN applications. The messages (in XML format) fulfil the needs of both data users and data providers (e.g. proprietary protocol, web-services, etc.). This mechanism supports the notification, request and response functions for all types of SSN information
- 2. Streaming mechanism (STIRES): A mechanism which enables the constant flow of AIS data (based on predefined criteria) from the national systems to the central SSN system (either directly or via an AIS regional server)⁷. This mechanism is currently only available for the provision of AIS information and is an alternative to the message-based mechanism.
- 3. Central SSN Web browser-based mechanism: This mechanism is available for requesting information and providing Incident Reports, and may be used to provide other information as a back-up solution in the case of failure of the national or local SSN systems. It is also available for system administration.

The central SSN Web browser-based mechanism offers two interfaces:

- A "textual" interface (EIS): This provides direct access to the central SSN system using a textual layout;
- A chart-based Graphical interface (STIRES): This uses geographical information system technology to provide access to ship positions enriched with the data in the central SSN system (information on pre-arrival, arrival, Hazmat cargo, incidents, etc.), thus creating a vessel traffic image showing movements in near-real time.

Member States can select the mechanism that fits best their national organization and technical framework, in order to effectively participate in the SSN Community.

The table here-after clarifies the functionality offered by each one of the currently applicable mechanisms for data exchange (according to the XML RG v.07).

⁷ For notification purposes, the message-based mechanism and the streaming mechanism are alternative ways of providing Ship AIS positions.

				Web-browser- based	
	mechanisms for n exchange	Message- based (EIS)	Streaming (STIRES)	Textual Interface (EIS)	Graphical Interface (STIRES)
Available for:	Data providing	All information	Ship AIS positions	Incident Information (back-up mechanism for all information)	N/A
101.	Data request/ response	All information	N/A	All information	All information
	Data "push"	Incident report only	N/A ⁸	N/A	N/A

Table 1 SSN mechanisms for information exchange (last quarter of 2013)

In relation to the mechanisms mentioned in the table the following principles apply:

2.4.1.1 Message- based exchange (EIS): Message based notification

The description refers to the process diagram in Figure 3 below extracted from XML guide v.07:

- The data provider gathers the necessary information to be reported. This information is sent to the national SSN system.
- The national SSN system compiles the message in the SSN compliant format and forwards it to the central SSN.
- Upon receipt the central SSN determines whether the notification is well formed.
- If it is well formed, the notification is indexed in the server.
- If it is not well formed, the notification is rejected by the central SSN system and the national SSN system should resend the corrected message.

⁸ This might change in the near future following relevant decisions of MS.



Figure 3 SSN – Data notification process

The following messages are currently implemented and used in the data notification process:

Message type	Description		
Ship notification	Used to notify SafeSeaNet about a ship's position, identity, voyage and cargo information. A ship notification is essentially based on either an MRS or AIS message.		
Alert notification (based on the message definition of previous SSNv1/ the message is still used in SSNv2) Incident notification (based on the new definition incorporated in SSNv2 compliant with the XML RGv2.07)	Used to notify SafeSeaNet that the sender holds some information about specific incidents like SITREP, POLREP, Waste, lost/found containers. An alert can be linked or not to a particular vessel.		
Portplus	Used to notify SafeSeaNet in cases of: Pre-arrival notification of information at least 72 hours before the ship's arrival in a EU port whenever the ship is eligible for an expanded PSC inspection;		

Message type	Description	
	Pre-arrival notification of information at least 24 hours before the ship's	
	arrival in a EU port;	
	Arrival notification, upon actual ship's arrival;	
	Departure notification, upon actual ship's departure;	
	Notification of dangerous and polluting goods carried onboard a ship	
	bound for an EU port, either when coming from a non-EU or an EU port (HAZMAT)	
	In the near future the message will be extended to include data elements that shall be used to report security and waste in accordance with the RFD.	

Request and response

The description refers to the process diagram in Figure 4 below extracted from XML guide v.07:

- 1) The data user requests information from the national SSN system.
- 2) When the information cannot be provided nationally, the national SSN system forwards the request to the central SSN system.
- 3) The central SSN system verifies the access rights of the user, and subject to acceptance, proceeds as follows:
 - In the case of information stored at central SSN level, the information is sent back to the requester (via national SSN system).
 - In the case of information is available in MS national servers through document download, the central SSN system retrieves directly the document and forwards it to the requester (via the national SSN system).
 - In the case of information is available upon request only, the central SSN system forwards the request to the national SSN system where the information is located, which, may, in turn, forward it to the data provider that owns the information. The data provider that owns the information then responds with detailed information which is transmitted (via the national SSN system) back to the central SSN system for forwarding to the data user.



Figure 4 SSN request/ response process

Data push (currently applicable only for incident reporting)

The description refers to the process diagram in Figure 5 below extracted from XML guide v.07:

- 1) The data provider gathers the necessary information to be reported. In the message is included a "distribution list" specifying the MS that should be proactively warned about the message. This information is sent to the national SSN system.
- 2) The national SSN system compiles the message in the SSN compliant format and forwards it to the central SSN.
- 3) Upon receipt, the central SSN determines whether the notification is well formed. If it is well formed, the notification is indexed in the server. If it is not well formed, the notification is rejected by the central SSN system and the national SSN system should resend the corrected message.

- 4) Then, subject to the configuration of incident report reception by the MS9: i) if the recipient MS has implemented the XML distribution mechanism, SafeSeaNet "pushes" the incident report to the recipient MS and ii) if the recipient MS has not implemented the XML distribution mechanism, SafeSeaNet send an e-mail notification to the 24/7 NCA and to other preselected recipients. The possibility to receive both XML and emails is also envisaged.
- 5) Each recipient MS, which has received the "pushed" notification message, sends back to SafeSeaNet a confirmation message (synchronous connection).
- 6) In case of a failure in the distribution of an Incident Report to a recipient MS, SafeSeaNet initiates a failure management process, where it sends a warning e-mail to the 24/7 NCA.
- 7) The distribution is considered as having failed in the case of XML distribution if:
 - The receipt confirmation message (SSN_Receipt) sent by the recipient MS contains a negative status code,
 - No SSN_Receipt notification is received from the recipient MS after 3 attempts of distribution of the SSN2MS_IncidentDetail_Tx notification,
- 8) The distribution is considered as having failed in the case of e-mail distribution if:
 - A non-delivery notification is received from the mail server(s) of the recipient MS,
 - No acknowledgment message is received from the mail server of the recipient MS after 3 attempts of distribution of the Incident Report by e-mail.
- 9) As a last step in the distribution workflow, the central SSN sends a consolidated acknowledgment notification message to the original Data Provider, indicating the consolidated status of the distribution.

⁹ This configuration is done by the MS utilising the configuration utilities incorporated in the central SSN textual interface.



Figure 5 Incident distribution process

2.4.1.2 Streaming mechanism (STIRES)

The streaming mechanism incorporated in SSN system enables the near-real-time exchange of ship positions obtained via the AIS network. The streaming interface is implemented at the regional and national levels in order to enable national SSN systems to provide AIS information to regional servers and/or directly to the central SSN system.

2.4.2 SSN interoperability with other EU system

As indicated in the picture below (extracted from SSN IFCD) the SSN is interfaced, at present, with several EU systems. The interfacing is described below.



Figure 6 EU systems currently interfaced to SSN (Source: SSN IFCD)

2.4.2.1 EU Long-Range Identification and Tracking Cooperative Data Centre (EU LRIT CDC)

Following the adoption of amendments to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS Chapter V), which introduced the long-range identification and tracking of ships, the Council of the EU (in its Resolution of 2 October 2007 and 9 December 2008) agreed to the establishment of a European LRIT Data Centre managed by the Commission through EMSA. Subject to the provisions in SOLAS Chapter V/19.1, Contracting Governments are able to receive LRIT information for security, safety and marine environment protection purposes. Search and rescue services are also entitled to receive, free of charge, LRIT information in relation to the search for, and rescue of, persons in distress. Within Directive 2002/59/EC as amended, the Council agreed to make use of SSN to facilitate the sharing of LRIT information between MSs. The EU LRIT CDC has been in operation since 4 June 2009.

As already mentioned above the present interface established between SSN and LRIT CDC enables the distribution, via the SSN graphical interface, of mandatory LRIT reports to LRIT flag state users. The technical implementation enabling the full distribution of LRIT data to MSs through SSN is under development. Details, in this respect, are not publically available.

2.4.2.2 EU LRIT Ship Database:

The EU LRIT Ship Database (EU LRIT Ship DB) is a component of the EU LRIT CDC. The purpose of the database is to allow for the registration of ships which have been instructed by their national administrations to report to the EU LRIT CDC. It is accessible online by administrations which are responsible for registering ships, and for updating the identification details as requested by SOLAS Chapter V/19.1. An updated version of the EU LRIT Ship DB is automatically sent on a daily basis to the EU LRIT CDC.

The SSN/ EU LRIT ship database interface provides the central SSN system with ship information in order to validate the ship information held in the SSN system.

2.4.2.3 THETIS

The Port State Control (PSC) information system developed for the implementation of PSC Directive 2009/16/EC, as well as the New Inspection Regime applicable to the Paris MoU. The system is essential to the daily PSC activities of states operating under the Paris MoU. The entire process (port call registration, targeting, selection, reporting of inspections with corrective actions, publication of details and production of statistics), as stipulated in Directive 2009/16/EC and its implementing regulations, is facilitated by the system.

The central SSN system provides to the THETIS system information received from national SSN systems on the pre-arrival, arrival or departure of ships calling at EU ports and anchorages.

2.4.2.4 CleanSeaNet (CSN)

CSN is the satellite based monitoring system for marine oil spill monitoring and vessel detection in European waters. Operating under Directive 2005/35/EC on ship sourced pollution, CSN provides a monitoring service to national maritime administrations in EU coastal Member States, EFTA countries and candidate countries in their area of interest. Upon request, CSN provides the European Commission with services in and around the waters of these participating countries. The main objectives of CSN are: the identification and tracking of oil pollution on the sea surface, the monitoring of accidental and deliberate pollution and contributing to the identification of polluters. The system is based on the provision and analysis of Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) satellite images.

The central SSN system provides ship positions and identifiers (transmitted by national AIS networks) to the CSN system in order to assist in the identification of vessels and possible polluters (within a limited timeframe and area).

3 Initiatives related to SSN evolution

3.1 CISE (Common Information Sharing Environment)

Integrated Maritime Surveillance is about providing authorities interested or active in maritime surveillance with ways to exchange information and data. The way maritime surveillance activities are currently set up in the EU leads to a partial understanding of incidents involving ships at sea. At present, there exist several surveillance functions executed by a number of systems at EU national or EU level that collect data separately and often do not share it. These functions are:

- Maritime safety (including search and rescue), maritime security and prevention of pollution caused by ships. For these functions a number of systems hold information, e.g. SSN (at EU and national level), VTS and MRCC systems at national level and CSN system of EMSA (for oil spill monitoring)
- 2. Fisheries control. The satellite-transponder-based Fishing Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS), operated by national Fishing monitoring centers as well EFCA, hold information related to this function.
- 3. Marine pollution preparedness and response. National systems but also systems like EMSAoperated CSN hold some information related to this function.
- 4. Customs. The import and export systems implemented by Custom Authorities at national level hold information related to this function.
- 5. Border control. National systems as well as EU systems under implementation (like the SIS) hold information related to this function
- 6. General law enforcement, and
- 7. Defense

The user communities related to the above functions (at EU and/ or national level) collect data currently separately and often do not share them. As a result, the same data may be collected more than once.

In 2009, the European Commission put forward a communication (COM (2009) 538 final) towards a 'Common Information Sharing Environment (CISE)¹⁰ for the surveillance of the EU maritime domain and, in 2010, adopted a six step roadmap to achieve it. Within the context of CISE and as described in the Communication, SSN functions are described as follows:

"The Community system SafeSeaNet should be used by all relevant user communities and be developed further to function as the main platform for information exchange in the EU maritime domain with regard to port arrival and departure notifications, notifications on dangerous goods, maritime security notifications, incident and accident information, AIS, LRIT and pollution monitoring. The management and future evolution of this system is carried out by the Commission, assisted by the SafeSeaNet High Level Steering Group, as defined by Directive 2002/59/EC"

¹⁰ <u>http://ec.europa.eu/maritimeaffairs/policy/integrated_maritime_surveillance/index_en.htm</u>

At present there is no decision on the way CISE shall be developed and be implemented in Europe. Public Authorities should formalize cooperation agreements and clarify:

- what data is to be shared
- how data will be processed (transformed, correlated, fusioned, etc); and
- how data will be communicated.

The draft "CISE Architecture Vision document" ¹¹is providing a number of "visions" on the potential architecture concepts for CISE. The document defines the architectural options for CISE by drawing inspiration from related initiatives and by building on the study of "current maritime surveillance IT landscape"¹². Each vision is described in a structured template based on commonly agreed terminology to allow for easy comparison of visions, which in turn facilitates the selection of a target state according to well defined criteria. Describing aspirational visions for CISE is imperative, because creating an information sharing environment without a defined target state and an agreed way forward is likely to lead to an unsuccessful result. The Architecture Visions document makes visible the possible levels of intricacy (i.e. the number of different elements) and complexity (i.e. the number of relationships between elements) of all possible future target states (also known as could be states).

The table here-after, extracted from the currently publically available draft Vision document summarizes six potential architecture visions for CISE. The visions are presented in such an order that each vision gradually adds the level interoperability agreements, one on top of the other. The table provides a summary of the interoperability agreements that are required to realize each vision.

¹¹ On line on <u>https://webgate.ec.europa.eu</u>

¹² Deloitte, "Study on the current surveillance IT landscape and resulting options," 2012. Available: https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/maritimeforum/content/295

Table 2 CISE- Architecture "visions"

Vision	Vision Name	Description	Interoperability agreements
Nr.			
1	Interconnected Authority Systems	Each maritime authority independently exposes a set of services using a commonly agreed information exchange model (the technical service interface and transport protocol are not standardised). Authorities acting as service consumers have to find and connect point-to-point to the services of the information sources of their interest. Technical bilateral agreements are needed to overcome the lack of a commonly agreed service interface.	 Organizational – None. Semantic – Commonly agreed information exchange model; access rights and licensing model. Technical – None.
2	Interconnected Authority Gateways	Each authority, as service consumer, needs to understand which services are made available by the authorities in each Member State and User Community. To facilitate information exchanges, a standardised "gateway" is specified. It consists of an information exchange model, a transport protocol and the technical service interface. Each information source uses the gateway specifications to enable access to its information. Information consumers also rely on the gateway specifications to use services from other authorities.	 Organizational – None. Semantic – Commonly agreed information exchange model; access rights and licensing model. Technical –transport protocol and technical service description, packaged as gateway specifications and reference implementation.
3	Interconnected National Gateways	Each authority independently implements a set of services using a commonly agreed information exchange model, transport protocol and service interface through a standardised "gateway" at national level. The national gateway is used by all public authorities in a Member State. The gateway has routing functionalities, meaning that public authorities no longer need to be aware of where to get information. Service consumers request information through the national gateway (which is interconnected to other national gateways), which routes the request to the authorities offering that information. Point-to-point connections are possible between 2 authorities if needed.	 Organizational – Connections to national gateway, based on a community agreement. This enables cross-sector information exchanges. Semantic – Commonly agreed information exchange model; routing rules; access rights and licensing model. Technical –transport protocol and technical service description, packaged as gateway specifications and reference implementation.
4	Interconnected National Nodes	Each authority connects its information sources holding information relevant to maritime surveillance to a national node. The node stores the information from the several information sources within a Member State, pre-processes it and exposes a set of services to CISE users through a commonly defined service interface, transport protocol and information exchange model. Authorities retrieve maritime surveillance information by connecting to their national node (which is interconnected to other national nodes). Unlike the previous visions, the node is an advanced gateway, which could fuse information. Point-to-point connections are possible between 2 authorities if needed.	 Organizational – Connections to national node, based on a community agreement. This enables cross-sector information exchanges. Semantic – Commonly agreed information exchange model; routing rules; access rights and licensing model; aggregation rules. Technical – Node specifications and reference implementation.
5	Sea-Basin Coordinated National Nodes	Each authority connects its information sources holding information relevant to maritime surveillance to a national node. The node stores information from several information sources within a Member State, pre-processes it and exposes a set of services to CISE users through a commonly defined interface and information exchange model. These nodes are then clustered per sea-basin to a higher level node that coordinates information sharing within the given sea	 Organizational – Connections to national node, based on a community agreement. This enables cross-sector information exchanges. Agreement on single access point in sea-basin. Semantic – Commonly agreed information exchange

Vision	Vision Name	Description	Interoperability agreements
Nr.			
		basin area. Authorities retrieve maritime surveillance information by connecting to their national node, which is interconnected to the sea basin node (which in turn is interconnected to other sea basin nodes). Point-to-point connections are possible between 2 authorities if needed.	 model; routing rules; access rights and licensing model; aggregation rules. Technical – Node and coordinator specifications and reference implementation.
6	EU-Coordinated National Nodes	Each authority connects its information sources holding information relevant to maritime surveillance to a national node. The node stores information from several information sources within a Member State, pre-processes it and exposes a set of services to CISE users through a commonly defined interface and information exchange model. These nodes are then connected to a single, central coordinator that coordinates information sharing between all Member States. Authorities consuming information services connect to their national node, which is interconnected to the central coordinator. Point-to-point connections are possible between 2 authorities if needed.	based on a community agreement. This enables cross-sector information exchanges. Agreement on single access point in EU.

As stated in the meeting report of the Technical Advisory Group of CISE¹³, DG MARE presented in the meeting a "Hybrid" architectural Vision for the CISE, that was requested by MSs to "allow" all the different Visions to co-exist. The Hybrid architectural allows a high degree of flexibility in the interconnection. EU Agencies will connect directly to CISE, Member States can choose different options, either connecting through a Single National Node, or through more community level nodes; furthermore, even Public Authorities (at individual level) can directly connect to CISE.

The comments from the TAG members were generally appreciative for this approach due to the increased flexibility offered by this new development although the risks related to the increased complexity of the governance were also underlined. The transport community stressed the importance of a full "use" of existing and upcoming systems (National Single Window); **they also required to consider the feasibility of using SSN as a core system for CISE**. The transport community also reminded the commercial value of information for owners and port communities. They also requested to keep the governance as light as possible reminding that in their view the purpose of CISE should not be to make all the information available to everybody. Many parties in the TAG reiterated the need to avoid any duplication and increase in administrative burdens.

Chapter 5 further details the potential role of an evolving SSN in CISE, taking into consideration the scope outlined in the above mentioned COM (2009) 538 and the on-going discussion on the CICE Visions.

3.2 Blue belt

The efficiency of customs clearance procedures for goods transported between EU ports has a considerable impact on the timely and efficient flow of trade between EU companies and businesses. Additional costs are either borne by the shipping company, constituting an economic drag in an ever more competitive marketplace, or passed on to their clients with a price increasing effect for the EU consumer.

The Blue Belt, according to the Communication 510 of the EC (published on 8/7/2013) is an sea "area where vessels can operate freely within the EU internal market with a minimum of administrative burden while safety, security, environmental protection as well as customs and tax policies are enhanced by the use of maritime transport monitoring and reporting capabilities (processes, procedures and information systems)".

The central SSN system hosted by EMSA could be utilized, in this context, to provide a basis for traffic monitoring and reporting services. This could be achieved by making available to Customs Authorities information on vessels movements and cargo movements (the latter remains to be decided). This would enable Custom Authorities to assess whether ships are indeed meeting the conditions imposed by the Directives. It will also allow them to eventually reduce the reporting burden currently imposed to shipping companies and cargo forwarders.

¹³ https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/maritimeforum/content/3430

A pilot project was set by the Commission in 2011 in collaboration with EMSA, aimed at demonstrating to National Authorities the potential benefits of utilizing SSN. As many as 253 vessels participating in the pilot exercise were monitored and customs authorities received a notification report before the arrival of a ship to a port, giving information on routes, ports of call and vessel behavior (e.g. encounters at sea with other vessels). The Blue Belt pilot project showed that useful information could indeed be provided to customs on the voyages of the vessels. During the evaluation exercise of the pilot project, customs authorities pointed out that the information regarding the vessels movement should be completed with information regarding the goods carried, in particular on their status (Union versus non-Union).

This distinction would allow Customs authorities to ensure the appropriate customs supervision of non-Union goods while at the same time facilitating the procedures for Union goods.

The above requirement of Custom Authorities implies that:

- 1. An electronic cargo manifest (eManifest) should be introduced which, among other information, will include indication of the status of goods on board a ship (Union, Non-Union) and the potential changes of this status during the voyage. The structure of this manifest should be agreed among MS and "harmonized" to the extent is possible and feasible. As mentioned in the COM (510), when the eManifest will be lodged in an EU port, the Union status of the goods on board will be indicated and, if confirmed, customs controls would no longer be needed for Union goods apart from random checks. This represents a considerable facilitation of trade for shippers and shipping companies, as well as a simplification for customs authorities not required to check Union goods, unless identified for random or specific checks. Goods loaded at non-EU ports would by definition be non-Union goods and would be mentioned as such on the eManifest. In addition, if a vessel calls at a third country port between two EU ports but Union goods remain on board, the goods will maintain their status as declared upon departure from the last EU port.
- 2. A close monitoring of ship movements among EU ports and between EU ports and non-EU ports is required as well as reporting of ship movements to Custom Authorities.

SSN could play a significant role in facilitating the exchange of eManifest and monitoring of the ship movements as it is further discussed in the chapter 5
3.3 IMDATE

The Integrated Maritime Data Environment (IMDatE) is a technical framework currently under development by EMSA¹⁴. In future, it will combine and process data from EMSA's maritime applications (SSN, CSN, LRIT, THETIS) and other external sources to provide a more complete maritime picture to users.

IMDatE will combine different data sources available in the EU systems operated by EMSA to provide a complete near real-time maritime picture. This will include, as a minimum, AIS, LRIT, Satellite AIS, coastal radar, VMS, and Earth Observation data. IMDatE will provide data fusion functionality in order to provide enhanced information from the combination of data sources.

It will also provide the ability to grant access to different data sets and services according to individual user access rights. These may be delivered via a user friendly web interface or distributed automatically to authorized external systems.

The following services are currently envisaged (based on information made available at EMSA web site):

- 1) Integrated Ship Profile Service This service will provide a combined view of all information related to a ship or fleet based on information available in the different systems, which are connected to the IMDatE.
- 2) Area Centric Service This service will provide a complete maritime and oceanographic picture of a selected area, built-up from different layers of information, such as ship traffic data (full range of available ship position reports), satellite SAR picture of the defined area, optical image of the area, weather forecast associated to the area, oceanographic data (currents, waves, sea temperature, algae, etc).
- Maritime Surveillance Service This service will allow users to analyze all available ship traffic information in order to identify activities of interest for the purposes of Maritime Surveillance activities.
- 4) EU Common Maritime Space Monitoring Service This specific service will support the implementation of EU Common Maritime Space (CMS) applications. In particular, the service will monitor ships engaged in EU (coastal) trade and ships (ferries and coasters) engaged in scheduled and/or regular services between EU ports.

¹⁴ according to the information published at <u>http://www.emsa.europa.eu/lrit-home/117-lrit-cooperative-data-</u> <u>centre/489-integrated-maritime-data-environment-imdate.html</u>

4 Setting the scene for the future

4.1 The Reporting Formalities Directive

The Directive 2010/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 October 2010 aims to simplify and harmonize the administrative procedures applied to maritime transport by establishing a standard electronic transmission of information and by rationalizing reporting formalities for ships arriving in and ships departing from European Union (EU) ports.

The RFD applies to the reporting formalities listed in the Annex of RFD – refer to sections 4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 below). The EU MS as well as EFTA Countries that had adopted the RFD (Norway, Iceland) must ensure that the reporting formalities at their ports are requested in a harmonized and coordinated manner. The master, or any other person duly authorized by the operator of the ship, must provide the competent national authority with notification, prior to arriving in an EU port, of the information required under the reporting formalities.

According to the Directive EU countries shall accept electronic reports *via a national single window* (NSW) as soon as possible and, at the latest, by 1 June 2015. The single window will be the place where all information is reported **once** and made available to various competent authorities and the EU countries. EU countries must ensure that information received in accordance with reporting formalities is made available in their national SafeSeaNet systems and make available parts of such information to other EU countries via the SafeSeaNet system.

Every EU country must ensure that the reporting formalities at their ports are requested in a harmonized and coordinated manner.

The sections 4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 below list the reporting obligations mandated by the RFD. At the time of writing this report the EU Member states have agreed to exchange via SSN central system operated by EMSA the information as per bullet points in sections 4.1.1 (1), (3), (4) & (5). The information listed in section 4.1.2 below (related to FAL forms 1/3/4/5/6/7 and the Maritime declaration of Health) as well as the information on border checks (passenger/ crew list), should be handled at national level and notified by ship representatives to the NSW (international exchange of this information is not required).

At present time there is no agreement of EU Member States on the exchange of FAL 2 form (pending the decisions to be made on the eventual introduction of a harmonized eManifest). Furthermore there is no agreement, at present, for the notification of Entry summary declaration (ENS) to the NSWs although this is mandated in the RFD.

4.1.1 Reporting formalities resulting from legal acts of the Union

This category of reporting formalities includes the information that shall be provided in accordance with the following provisions:

1. Notification for ships arriving in and departing from ports of the Member States

Article 4 of Directive 2002/59/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 establishing a Community vessel traffic monitoring and information system (OJ L 208, 5.8.2002, p. 10).

2. Border checks on persons

Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 562/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2006 establishing a Community Code on the rules governing the movement of persons across borders (Schengen Borders Code) (OJ L 105, 13.4.2006, p. 1).

3. Notification of dangerous or polluting goods carried on board

Article 13 of Directive 2002/59/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 June 2002 establishing a Community vessel traffic monitoring and information system.

4. Notification of waste and residues

Article 6 of Directive 2000/59/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2000 on port reception facilities for ship-generated waste and cargo residues (OJ L 332, 28.12.2000, p. 81).

5. Notification of security information

Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 725/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 on enhancing ship and port facility security (OJ L 129, 29.4.2004, p. 6).

6. Entry summary declaration

Article 36a of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2913/92 of 12 October 1992 establishing the Community Customs Code (OJ L 302, 19.10.1992, p. 1) and Article 87 of Regulation (EC) No 450/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2008 laying down the Community Customs Code (Modernised Customs Code) (OJ L 145, 4.6.2008, p. 1).

4.1.2 FAL forms and formalities resulting from international legal instruments

This category of reporting formalities includes the information which shall be provided in accordance with the FAL Convention and other relevant international legal instruments.

- 1. FAL form 1: General Declaration
- 2. FAL form 2: Cargo Declaration
- 3. FAL form 3: Ship's Stores Declaration
- 4. FAL form 4: Crew's Effects Declaration
- 5. FAL form 5: Crew List

- 6. FAL form 6: Passenger List
- 7. FAL form 7: Dangerous Goods
- 8. Maritime Declaration of Health

4.1.3 Any relevant national legislation

Member States may include in this category the information, which shall be provided in accordance with their national legislation. Such information shall be transmitted by electronic means.

4.2 EC initiatives to collect/ agree with MS the business rules for implementation of NSWs

To ensure a harmonized approach in the development of the NSWs, the European Commission took the initiative in 2011 to establish an expert group on "maritime administrative simplification and electronic information services" the so-called 'eMS' group. According to the rules and procedures agreed with the Member States, the eMS should help to develop specifications and services for the electronic data exchange and single windows for the EU Maritime transport.

The eMS Members have agreed to first develop distinct rules for the various reporting formalities and then attempt to harmonize them, once the development of business rules for each of the notifications mandated in the RFD have been completed. The eMS set-up 6 sub-groups – Security, Waste, Customs, General Maritime, Border and Health – in order to establish rules for the exchange of the relevant reporting formalities. At the time of preparing this report a definite set of business rules have been agreed on (refer to the relevant Annexes of the report for more details):

- General maritime Information ((Arrival notification, dangerous goods, FAL 1 and 7)
- Border Checks on Persons
- Maritime Declaration of Health
- Security Message
- Waste Message

Furthermore the eMS agreed on a first set of "harmonized" rules concerning the identification of ships (by IMO and/or MMSI); the use of UN/LOCODEs and IMO Port Facility Numbers (GISIS database; the traceability of users submitting notifications; User Profiles; information that shall be exchanged via SSN or maintained in the NSW; date storage intervals; data availability and the classification of the information exchanged via the NSWs.

At the time of preparing this report, there is still on-going work on:

- Customs related information (e.g. Entry Summary Declaration, FAL 2 (Cargo Declaration), FAL 3 (Ship Stores), and FAL 4 (Crew's Effects) .The relevant business rules have been discussed by the Customs sub-group but have not been validated, so far, by the eMS).
- Data mapping (identification and definition of the individual data elements to be reported when fulfilling the reporting formalities)
- Guidelines for the development of single windows

The reader should refer to the relevant Annexes of this report for more details on the definite set of rules agreed by eMS as well as on the work in progress.

Another worth noting deliverable of the eMS Group is the conceptual definition of the National Single Windows and data flows among them (refer to picture below).



Figure 7 Single window data flows according to eMS conceptual approach

Furthermore according to the eMS framework:

- 1. The single window will link SSN, e-Customs and other electronic systems and will consist the point where all information is reported once and made available to various competent authorities. This requirement means that the information submitted through this single window should be made available to relevant authorities. In addition, the relevant information provided through e-Customs, SSN and other electronic systems should be accessible through the Single Window. Technically this means that a common defined interface is to take place in order to enable interoperability among the systems.
- 2. Given that the Directive 2010/65/EU establishes minimum requirements for National Single Window and does not exclude national enhancements, the Single Window consists of two parts: the mandatory/harmonized part and the optional national additions.

In the conceptual approach for SWs agreed at the 6th meeting of the Group the two parts of SWs are defined as follows:

4.2.1 Mandatory/harmonised part of NSWs (eMS conceptual approach)

Note : the definition below is copied from the eMS concept paper

"The Single Window (SW) is an environment for collection, dissemination and exchange of vessel reporting information with a structured and commonly defined data structure, and rules and rights management of information, which are in accordance with relevant international, national and local legal requirements. The goal of the SW is to simplify and harmonize the administrative procedures applied to maritime transport by making the electronic transmission of information standardized and by rationalizing reporting formalities.

The minimum requirements for the quality, the content and the submission time frame of the data are or can be defined and regulated by EU legislation and International agreements. Individual data elements should be only submitted once.

The SW consists of the user web interface and interfaces requirements, harmonised on the EU level in regard to a common set of services and specific layout, semantics, for submitting the information or, where applicable by legislation, by a party with delegated rights. Addition to this user web interface, the National Single Windows (NSW) can provide optional data transmission means as long as they do not compromise the minimum requirements on the data stated above.

The NSW should be able to exchange information with SSN (which is currently the only EU system for exchanging data). The Port Community Systems could be included under the NSW umbrella, respecting the same requirements (harmonized layout, information, validation rules, etc).

The business activity flows used by the Shipping industry for submitting notifications, updating data in the notifications and receiving feedback by the Authorities concerned via the NSWs should be harmonized at EU level.

The transmission of the data to the NSW should be made either directly through business entities / governmental agencies or via a trusted-third-party (certified and authorized party).

All the reporting formalities should be accepted by the NSW. Only one NSW should be set up per MS"

4.2.2 National additions in NSWs (eMS conceptual approach)

Note : the definition below is copied from the eMS concept paper

"The NSW serves as "Single Point of Contact" for the exchange of information. The quality, the content and the submission time frame of the data are or can be defined and regulated by national or local legislation. Individual data elements should be only submitted once. The NSW should aim to have the flexibility to incorporate additional developments or requirements at national level (e.g. other regulatory systems or multimodal connections).

The NSW allows the exchanges of information between:

- Actors in trade or transport chain and governmental agencies (B2G or G2B);
- Governmental agencies on the local and national level (G2G); and
- Governmental agencies on the supra-regional and international level (G2G, for example NSW DE and SSN, or between the national single windows.)"

4.3 The AnNA project

ANNA is an on-going (runs from 2012 – end 2015) EU Member States driven project aiming to support the effective implementation of the EC Directive 2010/65/EU (Reporting Formalities for Ships arriving in/departing from EU ports).

The ANNA project involves:

- 14 partner countries (Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Greece, France, Italy, Latvia, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden and United Kingdom).
- 10 observer countries (Croatia, Denmark, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Finland, Malta, Montenegro and Norway).
- 10 observer organizations: CESMA (Confederation of European Shipmasters'Associations), CLECAT (European organisation for freight forwarding, logistics and customs), FIATA (International Federation of Freight Forwarders Associations), ECASBA (European Community Association of Ship brokers and agents), ECSA (European Community Shipowners' Association), EHMC (European Harbour Masters' Committee), EPCSA (European Port Community Systems Association), ESPO (European Sea Port Association), WSC (World Shipping Council), WCO (World Customs Organisation).

The ANNA project develops a master plan for the period 2012-2015 setting the framework for the efforts required in achieving the minimum requirements for the implementation of the RFD including: - identification, legal, organizational and operational aspects. Furthermore the project will execute a series of pilots (whose definition is currently ongoing) demonstrating the following scenarios:

- Category 1 pilots: electronic data submission by the reporting party ;
- Category 2 pilots: the national (internal) solution for maritime single windows;
- Category 3: electronic data exchange between the EU Member States including existing exchange mechanisms.

Worth-noting (from the point of view of eMar given the synergies that could be developed) is the work of AnNA on the definition of a WCO standard - based messaging framework for the exchange of messages between the maritime industry and maritime single windows (MSWs). The specification shall be incorporated into an EU Messaging Interface Guide defining:

- XML Based messages for reporting (B2MSW, MSW2B-RESP),
- EDIFACT based messages for reporting (B2MSW-EDI, MSW2B-RESP-EDI),
- XML based messages for requesting information to reuse in subsequent reporting (B2MSW-REQ, MSW2B-REQRESP)

4.4 The Integrated Maritime Policy (IMP) Demonstrator project

Note: The summary on IMP project below is based on information uploaded at <u>http://www.up.gov.si/fileadmin/up.gov.si/pageuploads/SI_SSN/OOPP/IMP_demonstrator-</u>objectives and technical specifications 6 May 2013 .pdf)

EMSA has been delegated to implement a project whose main objective is to evaluate and demonstrate the setting up of a simplified single window at national level and its interfaces as required by the reporting formalities directive 2010/65/EU. This is done within the framework of the Integrated Maritime Policy (IMP) initiatives of the European Commission. This objective will be met through a demonstrator project, which will develop software and services components that will simulate:

- a National Single Window (NSW);
- the distribution of data to national authorities and;
- the exchange of relevant information via the central SSN system

According to the published specifications of the project, the demonstration project will include the use of web services utilized by ship data providers to submit notifications required by the Directive. The data exchange mechanism is based on The ISO 28005 standard on Electronic Port Clearance (refer to the next chapter).

4.5 The European e-Customs initiative

The European electronic customs initiative is essentially based on the following three pieces of legislation:

- The Security and Safety Amendment to the Customs Code, which provides for full computerization of all procedures related to security and safety;
- The Decision on the paperless environment for customs and trade (Electronic Customs Decision) which sets the basic framework and major deadlines for the electronic customs projects;

The modernised Community Customs Code which provides for the completion of the computerisation of customs. The e-Customs initiative which started in 2008 has been aimed to create secure, interoperable electronic customs systems for the exchange of the data.

The design approach advocated by DG TAXUD, shown in Figure 7, emphasizes on an iterative process to specify a streamlined SW model which will then guide the automation of compliance related processes.



Figure 8 TAXUD Single Window Design Approach

The single administrative document, SAD¹⁵, provides the documentary basis for EU customs declarations in the EU The document covers the placement of any goods under any customs procedure (Export, import, transit where the new computerised transit system (NCTS) is not yet used, warehouses, temporary import, inward and outward processing, etc.) whatever the mode of transport used.

A number of Customs Trans-European Systems are in operation, including:

- New Computerised Transit Systems (NCTS)
- Export Control System (ECS)
- Import Control System (ICS)
- Centralised Databases:
 - Economic Operators' System (EORI)
 - Tariff Systems

Customs related information concerning the outcome of the health controls could be retrieved from the Trade Control and Expert System, introduced by Decision 2002/459/EC19, which creates a trans-European network for the notification, certification and monitoring of imports, exports and trade of sanitary and phytosanitary products.

The interaction of a Maritime Single Window with the above systems needs careful deliberation.

¹⁵ <u>http://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/customs/procedural_aspects/general/sad/</u>

4.6 International standards and "de-facto" specifications of interest

The following international standards and "de-facto" specifications deserve attention from the perspective of the work in eMar.

4.6.1 The WCO data model and EDIFACT messaging standards

WCO Data Model (currently at version 3.0) is developed for optimized electronic data exchange, providing a global standard for whole-of-government cross-border data requirements. WCO model mainly applies the release and clearance of goods. It also takes into account the requirements of security and ship reporting under the FAL and SOLAS Conventions and ISPS.

The model is a toolbox containing material that can be used for a variety of purposes. It is consistent with other international standards such as the United Nations Trade Data Elements Directory (UNTDED), and will be also aligned with UN/CEFACT's Core Component Library (CCL). Previous versions of the WCO Data Model catered for UN/EDIFACT standard-based messages such as CUSCAR (Customs cargo report message – identified in the IMO revised "Compendium on facilitation and electronic business" as a message for the exchange of FAL form 2 and 3), CUSDEC (Customs declaration message) and CUSREP (Customs conveyance report message - identified in the IMO FAL Compendium as a message for the exchange of FAL form 1 – General Declaration).

WCO Data Model Version 3 has been developed to be the kernel of a Whole-of-Government Cross-Border Single Window. The GOVCBR as developed from Version 3 onwards, will eventually make the CUSXXX messages superfluous. GOVCBR makes it feasible to comply with the key element of a Single Window, namely to send a piece of information only once within one cross-border transaction. GOVCBR allows regulatory agencies to create and specify electronic messages from the same structure to any cross-border situation involving the release of goods, containers or conveyances. As stated in the EPCSA (European Port Community Systems Association) reference message guide¹⁶, it is anticipated that GOVCRB, developed in both and EDIFACT and XML version, will start gradually replacing the currently utilized messages of the CUSXX family following the launch of maritime single window in Europe in mid 2105 (as RFD entails).

AnNA project, mentioned above, uses the WCO model as the basis for the development of the AnNA messaging framework.

¹⁶ Could be downloaded from <u>www.epcsa.eu</u>

4.6.2 The EDIFACT messaging standards

Nowadays, within the Transport and Logistics sector in Europe, the exchange of data between the various parties is performed primarily by means of EDIFACT standardized messages. The following EDIFACT messages could be used for exchange of information with Port Community systems and Single Windows:

- Those defined in the IMO Compendium for the exchange of FAL Forms (CUSREP for FAL 1, CUSCAR-for FAL 2/ FAL 3 (Option 2), INVRPT for FAL3 (Option 1), PAXLST for FAL4/FAL5, FAL6).
- 2. BERMAN (Berth management message; a message from a carrier, its agent or means of transport to the authority responsible for port and waterway management, requesting a berth, giving details of the call, vessel, berth requirements and expected operations)
- 3. IFTGN (International Forwarding and Transport Dangerous Goods Notification message; is a message from the party responsible to declare the dangerous goods (e.g. carrier's agent, freight forwarder) to the party acting on behalf of the local authority performing the checks on conformance with the legal requirements on the control of dangerous goods, normally Port Authority, conveying the information relating to one conveyance/voyage of a means of transport such as a vessel, train, truck or barge, on the dangerous goods being loaded, unloaded, and/or in transit).
- 4. WASDIS (message to convey information on last inspection and/or on waste and cargo residues on board of a means of transport (e.g. vessel) and/or equipment related to a means of transport and still to be disposed in the next place or port of call of the means of transport)

BERMAN, IFTGN, WASDIS messages are currently available also in an XML structured variant.

4.6.3 The Electronic Port Clearance standards of ISO

These international standards contains definitions of messages (ISO28005-1) and core data elements (ISO28005-2) for electronic messaging between ships and shore in the areas of safety, security and marine operations. The standard covers all data reporting requirements for ship to shore and shore to ship reporting as defined in the following:

- 1. All FAL standard declarations (FAL 1 to 7) as defined in the FAL Convention.
- 2. ISPS reporting requirements as defined in ISPS and MSC 1305
- 3. All general ship reporting requirements as defined in IMO A.851
- 4. Recommended reporting on ship generated waste as defined in MEPC 644 and which is mandatory in Europe as described in EU/2000/59.
- 5. Required reporting as defined in the bulk loading and unloading code A.862.
- 6. ETA reporting to pilot station as defined in A.960.

The standard may also be used for information exchanges between the ship and the ship agent, the port as well as ship operator or manager.

Although the standard includes the definition of cargo elements and could be used, in this sense, for the exchange of FAL 2 form, will not necessarily cover issues such as customs clearance of imported or exported goods or transport service provisions to goods owners.

4.6.4 The SSN XML Reference Guide

The XML Reference Guide describes the data exchange mechanism established between EU MS, Norway and Iceland for the SSN system (refer to section 2.3 for the changes to the specifications related to the implementation of the RFD). As described in the paper "SSN Interface" ¹⁷ presented in SSN workshop 20, changes shall be introduced in the structure of the PortPlus notification message as well as the ShipCall request/response messages for addressing the waste and security requirements. As mentioned in the paper, the Group that developed the proposal on the changes took into account the business rules approved by the eMS Group. The data elements identified by the eMS "Data Mapping" sub-group were added to the SSN messages following a structure similar to the current PortPlus. The detailed information is stored at national level, and is made available upon request using the current ShipCall request and response messages.

A draft of the XML Reference Guide v3.0 was ed in the paper mentioned above. The technical definitions and coding of data elements were based on the existing technical definitions in SafeSeaNet, the ISO 28005-2 and the WCO data model.

4.6.5 The DDNIA specification on ICS

Considering i) the requirement for the exchange of Entry Summary Declaration (ENS) via the national single windows, ii) the discussions related to the development of an eManifest in the context of the BlueBelt initiative and iii) the ambiguity that exists in the way data exchange shall be established, if to be established between Customs and NSWs, attention is drawn to the DG-TAXUD specifications for the for National Import Applications. The reference set of documents for these specifications¹⁸ is the DDNA (Design Document for National Applications), which is applicable to every Transit, Export and/or Import Control Application and must be considered as a mandatory document for all implementation and verification activities. ENS is specified in DDNIA volume of the DDNA referring to Import control (workflows) and DDCOM (Common Operations and Methods) volume as far as the XML decisions.

The Information Exchanges supported and the different parties involved in the exchange of ENS are summarized in Figure 9 below.

¹⁷ <u>http://emsa.europa.eu/documents/workshop-presentations-a-reports.html</u> (see SSN Workshop 20)

¹⁸ Specifications could be downloaded from <u>http://www.masp.belgium.be/en/content/ics-</u> <u>O#ics downloads current</u>



Figure 9 Overview of Information Exchanges for ICS Phase 1 (Source DDNIA version 9.0 EN)

In particular, Figure 9 illustrates the different exchanges foreseen for the Import Control System. A prefix of "C_" denotes exchanges within the Common Domain between the roles Office of Lodgment, Office of first Entry and Office of subsequent Entry. A prefix of "E_" denotes exchanges in the External Domain (between National Administrations and Traders)

4.7 eMar project interaction with eMS, AnNA, EMSA and Norwegian Maritime Coastal Administration

In order to ensure that the needs of maritime Administrations, in terms of systems evolution are well understood by the eMar consortium partners and are well taken into consideration in the work of the project, eMar project representatives are following up closely (with active participation in meetings) the activities of eMS group and AnNA project. Furthermore a number of meetings have been organized in the recent past with EMSA and NCA.

5 System landscape of 2015 and beyond

5.1 "Meta-2015" landscape overview

No-one could determine with a certain degree of certainty how the system landscape in Europe shall evolve in the meta-2015 era. However, one should take into account:

- The Commission's vision on e-Maritime to develop an integrated EU system enabling the identification, monitoring, tracking and reporting of all vessels at sea and on inland waterways building on resources currently available such as SafeSeaNet.
- The envisaged role of SSN in future CISE and the wish of EU transport Community stressing the importance of a full "use" of existing and upcoming systems (NSWs and SSN).
- The current "state of affairs" (briefly described in the previous chapters) in the implementation of the RFD at Europe.

Based on the above assumptions the following conclusions may be drawn.

5.1.1 Reporting formalities

The following situation could arise for the Industry¹⁹ (refer also to the Figure 10 Application landscape in Europe following the implementation of the RFD):

- 1. Maritime reporting formalities notifications mandated in the legal Acts of the Union and related with:
 - a. Port clearance,
 - b. Maritime Safety <u>including the notifications on dangerous</u> cargos
 - c. Border control on persons

will be forwarded by the shipping industry to NSWs. The NSWs should have:

- A direct connection to a reporting gateway application (e.g. a web interface) managed by the NSW
- A direct connection to a reporting gateway application managed by Port Community systems / Port Window Systems or third-party value adding services
- A system2system connection to NSWs or PSWs or VAS who then will route the notification to NSWs
- 2. Cargo reporting formalities (ENS, FAL2 and/ or cargo manifest and, if to be established, will consist an electronic eManifest to be forwarded by the shipping industry to Customs:
 - With a direct connection to a reporting gateway application (e.g. a web interface) managed by the Customs
 - With a direct connection to a reporting gateway application managed by Port Community systems / Port Window Systems or the NSW
 - With a system2system connection to Customs, NSWs or PSWs or VAS. If the declaration is sent to NSWs or PSWs or VAS then these systems will route the notification to NSWs

¹⁹ The term "Industry" here is used for identifying ship managers, ship agents, cargo forwarders/ carriers and ship cargo agents. PCS/ PSWs and third party VAS services (which might be operated by a Business, a Public Authority or a Public-Private Partnership are considered as art of the infrastructure that National Administration will use to exchange information with "Industry"

In such a situation the functions of the existing SSN NCAs related to the collection and processing of notifications related to the VTMIS Directive and PSC Directive could be fully merged into the NSW. Other functions of the SSN NCAs (e.g. related to the collection of incident reports and/ or maritime reporting systems information, arrival/ departure information, etc.) could be also "merged" into the NSWs or remain outside of it. In this sense, one may anticipate that Authorities dealing with Maritime Safety (SSN Coastal stations) and generating such information may utilize an "event" gateway application for reporting.

This application will be operated by the NSW or an "independent" SSN NCA. The application shall be utilized to record event information and exchange, via NSW and/ or SSN, event information with other Authorities. In the case that an event gateway is not established nationally, maritime Authorities may report the events directly to SSN via a web interface made available by the SSN central system.

In such a landscape SSN central system:

- Shall continue "Indexing" information stored into the national systems (NSWs or event gateways operated by SSN NCAs) to enable the exchange of information between Authorities at international level in case of need
- Shall continue storing and processing information on ship calls and ship movements (independent of ship flag) in a pan-European scale.
- Shall continue tracking EU flag state movements (via LRIT, Satellite AIS, terrestrial AIS) on global scale
- May develop further functionalities concerning:
 - Provision of reference data to MSWs (e.g. vessel information)
 - Proactive distribution of information related to ship incidents and voyages²⁰
 - Collection and distribution of EU Maritime Reporting Systems information²¹
 - Provision of statistical information on ship movements to Eurostat and facilitating, in this sense, the implementation of the requirement in the preamble of the RFD Directive²¹

One could also foresee a key role for SNN in the further development of the BlueBelt project:

- Either by providing voyage related information to Custom Authorities in "real time" (including warning on e.g. deviations of ships from the expected route and ship to ship activities at sea). This would require the development of a voyage data distribution system2system service between SSN central and Customs User Community.
- Either by facilitating the exchange of e-Manifests at international level
- Either by doing both (on this refer also to the next section)

 $^{^{\}rm 20}$ Refer to EMSA work-programme for 2013 , section 4.2

²¹ In bullet point (6) of the preamble one may read: "Detailed statistics on maritime transport should be available to assess the efficiency of and the need for policy measures aiming at facilitating maritime traffic within the Union, taking into account the need not to create unnecessary additional requirements with regard to the collection of statistics by the Member States and to make full use of Eurostat. For the purposes of this Directive, it would be important to collect relevant data concerning ship traffic within the Union and/or ships calling at third country ports or in free zones"

5.1.2 CISE

In terms of developments in the maritime surveillance domain and the implementation of CISE in the "meta-2015" era the following notes could provide an indication of the potential evolution:

- 1. Existing Port Community systems / Port Windows or Internet-based value adding services providers may resume, in CISE terms, the functions of CISE node. PCS/ PSWs, in this case, shall operate gateway mechanisms to exchange data with Businesses/ Authorities at local level.
- 2. In some MS, Maritime Single Windows would resume, in CISE terms, the role of the national Aggregator and/ or Coordinator node, aggregating information from all the seven CISE communities in a Country.
- 3. In other MS, Maritime Single Window would act, in CISE terms, as an aggregator primarily for the Maritime Safety Community. The node shall be interoperable with other nodes established in the Country (e.g. the eCustoms node). MSWs in this case may establish own gateway mechanisms to exchange data directly with ships/ ship representatives and / or interact with ship representatives via gateway mechanisms established by third parties.
- 4. A "next-generation SSN" (which shall integrating functions made available in the EMSA systems presented in the chapter 2 and 3, namely the present SSN/ LRIT DC/ CSN/ IMDATE and THETIS) may evolve in a number of ways, e.g.:
 - Acting as a EU-wide coordinator node for NSWs and, accordingly, for all the User Communities participating in the NSWs.
 - Acting as the Coordinator node for the Maritime Safety and Marine pollution preparedness and response Communities. The SSN in this case shall be interfaced with specific Authorities at EU, Regional or national level and/ or with the aggregators, in CISE terms, potentially established by the other CISE Communities (Customs, Border Control, Fisheries, etc.). One may note that in this direction a lot of activities are already underway by EMSA. Reference is made, for example, to:
 - The antipiracy Anti-piracy monitoring service²² developed for EUNAFOR to track vessels in the high risk area of Somalia
 - The operational support provided by EMSA to FRONTEX activities²³
 - The pilot projects exploring the possibility of adding new streams of data to the existing maritime picture provided by EMSA, such as Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS) data for fisheries and Satellite AIS data²⁴

²² See http://emsa.europa.eu/combined-maritime-data-menu/anti-piracy-monitoring-service-marsurv.html

²³ See http://emsa.europa.eu/combined-maritime-data-menu/interagency-cooperation.html

²⁴ See <u>http://emsa.europa.eu/integrated-maritime-data-environment-imdate.html</u>

5.2 eManifest – setting the scene

Editor Note:

The information provided here-in is based on an analysis of papers submitted by Industry and the Commission services in meetings (attended by representatives of the eMar consortium) concerning the BlueBelt service and eManifest concept definition. Furthermore is based on analysis of the Communication 510 of the EC (published on 8/7/2013) "Blue Belt, a Single Transport Area for shipping"

5.2.1 eManifest, a tool to facilitate voyages of vessels calling also in third country ports As explained in the COM (2013) 510:

"The status of the goods carried on-board (i.e. Union or non-Union, Export, Freight, Remaining On Board, etc.) needs to be known in order to determine the appropriate customs supervision. Therefore, facilitations can be achieved by introducing a tool for easy notification of the required information, including information to be provided by the shipping company to customs on the status of the goods. This will allow the authorities to determine the procedure to be applied according to the status of the goods. Such a tool will allow customs at the discharge port to arrange for a swift release of Union goods while ensuring that non-Union goods remain under necessary customs and other administrative controls, such as health controls, pending their release for e.g. free circulation.

The electronic cargo 'eManifest' with information on the status of goods is considered a practical solution to achieve this. The eManifest would take the form of a harmonised and electronic cargo manifest and is an instrument to achieve further facilitation of maritime transport for vessels calling at EU and also at third country ports.

When the eManifest is lodged in an EU port, the Union status of the goods on board will be indicated and, if confirmed, customs controls would no longer be needed for Union goods apart from random checks. This represents a considerable facilitation of trade for shippers and shipping companies, as well as a simplification for customs authorities not required to check Union goods, unless identified for random or specific checks.

Goods loaded at non-EU ports would by definition be non-Union goods and be mentioned as such on the eManifest. In addition, if a vessel calls at a third country port between two EU ports but Union goods remain on board, the goods will maintain their status as declared upon departure from the last EU port. Furthermore, the verification of accuracy of the information provided from the port of departure to the port of arrival will be facilitated due to the harmonised eManifest.

The eManifest would introduce a further simplification: the indication of the goods' status in the eManifest could be endorsed by an operator if he is authorised to do so. Traders who do not have such an authorisation will have to rely on confirmation by the customs authorities.

The eManifest needs to be made available electronically to the customs authorities in the subsequent EU port of call where goods will be unloaded, the Union status of the goods being used to guarantee a quick release. A reference in the eManifest to the cargo-related information collected in previous ports of call would provide an additional element for tracking compliance not only with the fiscal but also with the safety and security requirements of the EU.

The eManifest will need to be fully harmonised across the EU. IT systems also need to be fully interoperable for the eManifests to be lodged and information to be exchanged between authorities.

However, the intention is not to create a new system which would imply additional costs, but to build on existing systems or systems which are being developed, such as the National Single Window, developed in the framework of the Reporting Formalities Directive, which would allow the eManifest to be exchanged between national customs administrations and with other relevant authorities".

5.2.2 eManifest, issues to note

Considering what is stated in the BlueBelt Communication and the on-going dialogue on its definition it appears that a harmonized eManifest, in order to fulfil its purpose:

- Should provide a customs status indicator for goods appearing on the manifest e.g. emanifest to be marked with a 'C' for Union goods, an 'N' for non-Union goods, an 'X' for export procedure goods.
- ▶ Fulfill the core requirements of the RFD and the Customs-related legal Acts concerning cargo/ customs clearance of goods. Industry expects, in this expect²⁵, that following the introduction of eManifest the further use of FAL1 and FAL2 forms should be suppressed.

The eManifest could be indeed proven to be a solution for:

- Reducing reporting burden for Industry
 - Assuming that data previously provided (e.g. those submitted via ENS) could be fully re-used
 - Assuming that the EU infrastructure set-up for collection and exchange of data would eliminate the need for double/ triple reporting of cargo information or part of it to different authorities in custom declaration lodging, cargo loading/ unloading or temporarily storing goods carried by ships
- Facilitating Customs/ Maritime Authorities work
 - Assuming that it shall provide means to trace and verify the "Proof of Union Status" of the goods
 - Assuming that it shall aggregate information related to "Pentalogy"²⁶ and thus allow a simplification of custom procedures for export, transit, safety and security assessment.
 - Assuming that it shall provide a concise view of ship cargo (including dangerous cargo information) for Maritime Authorities for e.g. ship safety assessment/ evaluation.

²⁵ Refer to http://www.annamsw.eu/documenten/item/wsc-presentation-emanifest.html

²⁶ The term "Pentalogy" refers to the following customs formalities: Entry Summary Declaration (ENS), Notification of Arrival of the means of transport (NA), Presentation Notification (PN), Declaration for Temporary Storage (DTS) and Customs Declaration (CD).

In relation to the content of a harmonized eManifest, it is important to draw attention on the following:

- 1. The harmonized eManifest should include:
 - a. An "Arrival" Notification with brief cargo description (integrating information currently included in FAL 1)
 - Detailed cargo information on arrival, possibly including all elements required for the "Pentalogy". Thus it should integrate all the information currently submitted via FAL 2 and FAL 7 forms.
- 2. It appears that there is a consensus achieved between all Stakeholders on a phased approach for the implementation of an eManifest²⁷ (IMO FAL 1 and 2 information inclusion in the eManifest is considered as a basic requirement for the first phase of the implementation, while the inclusion of IMO FAL 7 'Dangerous goods' is considered as 'nice to have'.
- 3. It appears that there is a consensus to reference ENS MRN (Movement Reference Number) for the eManifest.
- 4. There is still divergence of opinions among Stakeholders on a number of issues:
 - a. **The Form** of the eManifest (a single message consolidating FAL 1 and FAL 2 information as opposed to two messages one including an "Arrival" manifest with FAL1 information and a "Cargo" Manifest with FAL content
 - b. The Reporting party for eManifest: Currently FAL 1 is lodged by the vessel operator, while FAL 2 is lodged by the carrier (s) of on-board cargo. EU Custom Authorities wish the eManifest to be lodged by authorized Consignors who shall self-certify the status of goods. Industry considers the procedures for acquiring the status of "Authorised Consignor" status very complex and suggests its simplification. Furthermore, industry encourages the consideration of a creation of another category of eManifest reporting parties identified as "registered carrier". "Registered maritime carriers" shall not have the right to self-certify the goods status and it will be required to request confirmation from Customs in the EU port of loading of the Union status of the goods to be loaded onto the vessel. The confirmation could be done in the form of annotations on the transport documents
 - c. **Workflow** for eManifest submission: there exists an overarching agreement that trade should provide the eManifest only once using a single channel. The recipient of the eManifest on the side of the authorities would then have to organize the availability and distribution to all the government bodies that need the eManifest. However there is a divergence of opinions on which this channel would be. Industry seems to be in favour of transmission via EU's ICS system (used currently for ENS submission) and made available, via ICS, at national level to relevant entities such as NSW and port community systems. Several MS participating in the recent eManifest workshop²⁵ are in favour of utilizing the NSWs, while others wish to have full freedom in establishing a channel of their choice.

²⁷ See <u>http://www.annamsw.eu/documenten/item/consolidate-minutes-emanifest-workshop-june-2013.html</u>

- d. **eManifest updates**: Industry suggested that the administrations would be responsible to update the eManifest based on the information they received as part of the clearance procedure (presentation or exit of goods) or coming from B/L information of the goods loaded. In contradiction, the view of Authorities is that the provision of manifest information in a port is a core responsibility of trade; it cannot be handed over to the authorities.
- e. **Data re-use**: Industry is in favour of the full reuse of information previously submitted (e.g. via the ENS). Custom Authorities see merits in this idea and it could be contemplated in mid-term, depending on the requirements imposed on ICS from other initiatives.

5.3 Role of SSN in a future BlueBelt service

As it is highlighted in the BlueBelt pilot project evaluation report²⁸, the pilot project has demonstrated that SSN can deliver accurate and timely information about vessel voyages to Customs and that indeed this information is useful and can support customs procedures. As stated in the annex of the evaluation report "*it was realised that a Blue Belt service could improve operational procedures by providing information that would help coordinate customs operations between different Member States in order to avoid missing inspections or duplicating them*". The questionnaire survey indicated customs users wish to receive the Blue Belt information through a system-to-system connection, in order to gather ship and voyage information from traffic monitoring systems and display this information on their own customs applications. Furthermore the pilot service users suggested:

- 1. To include consignee/consignor and goods item level data in the reports by linking the notification reports to the ENS, where available;
- 2. To link/include cargo manifests and customs status of goods;
- 3. To provide information on the licenses of vessel operators;
- 4. To include the previous port(s) and the entire route of the vessel in the report that SSN provides to Customs as well as information on the last non-EU port of call;
- 5. To include reports of the blacklisted Ships;
- 6. To include information of the unexpected ship behaviour;
- 7. To offer an indication of serious differences between estimated and actual time of arrival when they arise;
- 8. To include information on ships voyage and behaviour outside of the European Maritime Space using satellite AIS information;
- 9. Using satellite images for targeted ships for customs inspections;
- 10. To include information about the vessels declared next port of call. This could be checked against the actual next port of call of the ship upon its arrival;
- 11. To include an alert and information about vessels that switch off their AIS transponders;
- 12. To include the IMO FAL documents.

²⁸ Refer to <u>http://emsa.europa.eu/operations/safeseanet/113-safeseanet/1463-blue-belt-pilot-project-evaluation-report.html</u>

It appears, considering the above, that it is highly likely that a system2system interface is to be established between SSN and EU Custom Authorities in the near future (in the context of an operational Blue Belt service for providing vessel and voyage information to Customs). One could envisage that if a decision for such a service is taken, it could be also considered to include a mechanism for exchanging eManifest among interesting parties. The following scenarios could be envisaged:

- An eManifest "pull" scenario: The European Index Server integrated into SSN is upgraded to support the exchange of eManifest in a request/ response scenario. In this scenario in case of a request from a Custom Office or a Maritime Authority in the port of arrival of a ship, SSN shall fetch and make available to the requestor the Arrival Manifest submitted in the port of departure.
- 2. An eManifest "push" scenario: The eManifest provided in the port of departure is included in the data that SSN pushes to the port of arrival along with vessel and voyage information

5.4 Potential contributions of the eMar project in the application landscape of the "meta-2015" era

This report attempted so far an analysis of the current state of play and forthcomings in the e-Maritime domain from the perspective of systems operated by Administrations at national or EU level with a special focus on those interacting with SSN currently or in the near future. An effort was made, via the analysis of information collected, to identify issues to be addressed and areas of work where eMar project can contribute to support the development of *an integrated information management system* in Europe based on existing systems such as AIS, LRIT and SSN. We have identified that the greater challenges, that eMar work should focus, relates with:

- 1. The implementation of reporting gateways and Maritime Single Windows for port clearance, border controls on persons and maritime declaration of health
- 2. The collection and distribution of cargo information in the form of a harmonized eManifest
- 3. The interoperability framework between Maritime Authorities, Custom Authorities and Industry.

In all these three areas eMar may contribute by:

- a. Proposing a reference specification for the data exchange mechanism utilized for maritime and customs formalities. This would be based on a modification of the CRS initially developed in the eFreight project taking into account recent developments, especially the work carried out by eMS Group and AnNA project on business rules and data mapping.
- b. Proposing a conceptual approach on the content of the eManifest based on a principle of full re-usability of previously reported data
- c. Devising and proposing reference specifications for a number of "interoperable" applications which could be utilized in a multi-node environment for the collection and distribution of information related to port and cargo clearance

In the second part of this report an overview is provided of the functional requirements related to the above (a, c) and a high level concept for (b).

Part II – A proposal for the eMar CRS and eMar applications / Functional requirements overview

6 eMar proposal for NSW related applications – The overarching "logical" view

6.1 Introduction

Looking ahead in the in the "post-2015" era, based on the findings of the analysis as presented in the previous chapters, one may anticipate a situation as depicted in the schema here-after (when the first systems compliant with the legal requirements of the RFD shall be a reality):

- Ship representatives (ship masters/ agents/ staff at ship manager/ operator premises) and authorized maritime carriers will provide (depending on their profile and access rights) the notifications required for electronic port clearance and/ or customs clearance via 3 types of "business" applications and/ or via the web interfaces offered by gateway system connected to maritime single windows and custom offices. The business applications are:
 - a) An application installed at ship operator premises (provisionally identified in this document as "Port Call planner & ship reporter" (PCPSR) application
 - b) An application installed at ship agent or authorized carrier premises (provisionally identified in this document as "ship/ cargo reporter" (SCR) application)
 - c) Third-party trusted, Internet based, services offered to ship representatives (provisionally identified in this document as "On line broker ship/ cargo reporting services" (OSCR) application)
- 2. The typical type of interface between business and government application shall be a webservices based interface, however one may also envisage the maintenance of legacy mechanisms used nowadays (like EDIFACT). Furthermore one should not exclude a possibility of some EU MS allowing the submission of notifications via e-mail.
- 3. The "government" application domain shall incorporate: The maritime single window (MSW) and the eCustom applications (this might be merged in a single NSW in some MS). These shall be built eventually either "from scratch" or shall evolve from the present legacy systems operated by the MS. The MSWs shall provide for the reception of notification two types of interface systems:
 - Built-in gateways
 - Gateways hosted by port single windows, port community systems or even eCustom compliant custom offices.
- 4. MSWs shall interoperate with EU systems and with systems managed operated by national Authorities via "adaptor" applications that shall be either built-in and/ or hosted at distinct servers (e.g. the "SSN NCA" server.
- 5. In case MSWs are used by a MS as a unique centralized location for all types of maritime reporting, they may also incorporate "Events" gateways, utilized e.g. to report incidents in accordance with the VTMIS directive.



Figure 10 Application landscape in Europe following the implementation of the RFD

In the schema, there are some considered "contradicting" requirements in regards to the applicable legislation. For instance the applicable Custom code requires the ENS to be submitted in a Custom Office (see the workflow in 4.6.5), but in the current state of discussion within the eMS Group, it cannot be envisaged with certainty at present that the "custom functions" (e.g. the collection of ENS) shall always be integrated within the MSWs. Some MS may decide to integrate these functions in the NSW but it is safer to assume at this stage that the interaction shall be realized via a potential connection between the MSW and the "national" eCustom node. The stakeholders acknowledge that this is not an optimum situation therefore, within the framework of the BlueBelt actions one may hope for the inclusion of alternative approaches (e.g. at least an interaction of Custom Authorities with the central SSN system) however, at the time of drafting this report, this scenario is highly unlikely to take place in a short/ medium term (before 2018), that is before a potential amendment to the applicable custom and/ or maritime legislation.

The schema also highlights the realistic business opportunities for new product developments (e.g. products developed on the basis of eMar framework and a to-be-modified CRS) identifying (in yellow colour). All these applications could be implemented by the eMar IT partner companies, in the short/ medium term, in supporting the application of EU legislation related to reporting formalities.

One should note that although the applications highlighted in the schema are aimed in serving different user needs, they have a lot of functional commonalities. As indicated in the schema hereafter, the logical structure of all the applications that could be developed on the basis of the eMar framework would incorporate a number of "standard" modules based on standard set of business objects and relationships between business objects. These objects and relationships should be easily adapted to meet the specific functional requirements of each application.

In the remainder of this chapter the following information is provided: i) an outline description of functional blocks, which in the context of system architecture could be incorporated in each of the applications identified with "yellow" colour in the Figure 10 and, in this sense, could be considered as "common" modules and ii) a description of the "common" business objects that should be defined for each of the applications identified in yellow in the Figure 10. This would assist the eMar team working on CRS fine tuning and modifications.

6.2 Common functional blocks in eMar applications for reporting formalities

As indicated in the Figure 11 the applications proposed by eMar may follow the Client/-Server-based architecture enabling data providers / receivers to exchange information related to legal Acts of European Union and International Law. More specifically the information to be exchanged will be in accordance the following legal Acts:

- 2009/17/EC: 24h pre-arrival notice, Hazmat, notices to maritime reporting systems and vessel traffic services.
- 2009/16/EC: 72h pre-arrival notice, actual arrival / departure notifications

- 2010/65/EC: ship reporting formalities such as waste, security, FAL forms, maritime declaration of health, passenger list, crew effects, etc.
- The EU Custom-code related legislation and its potential evolution for harmonization with the 2010/65/EC (ENS, eManifest)



Figure 11 Common functional blocks in eMar- compliant applications for Business and/ or Government

As shown in the figure, the following functional blocks, properly configured, would be present in most of the applications implemented using the eMar reference specifications.

epc Message transformer & interface

This module will be used for:

- Exchange (receive from/ send to) eMAR-compliant CRS²⁹ messages and/ or , alternatively (configurable) in the ISO 28005 (ship/voyage/ cargo information), AnNA and ICS-compliant messages (ENS for the moment)
- 2. Accepting messages for ship/ cargo information provided in EDIFACT and/ or XML proprietary format s and transforming them into eMar CRS format or a format accepted by a legacy system interfaced with the application.

epc data entry and consultation

A web –based front end used by authorized data providers to create / update voyage/port call/ incident/ cargo information and submit e.g. port clearance notifications, eManifest related notifications or ENS.

Management and configuration

A web – based front end interface used for:

- the configuration of the application/ communication methods .
- the grouping of data elements in accordance with the distribution logic applicable per MS and/ or port visited by ships.
- the management of users and their profiles/ access rights .
- the creation/ maintenance of reference data (vessels, locations, persons, organisations, parties).

Vessel tracker

This module will be used for:

1. Interacting with position transponders (terrestrial or satellite AIS, LRIT, etc) on board ships and / or third-party trusted vessel tracking internet-based applications in order to receive ship position information in real or "near real time" and record them in a ship position database.

²⁹ As explained in 6.3, the eMAR-compliant CRS should encompass complex data types that are based (but not restricted to) on UBL data types, ISO 28000-5 data types, WCO data types (Those to be adopted by AnNA project), SSN data types and ICS data types for ICSENS. It is modification or an extension of the CRS delivered by the eFreight project properly amended to cover ship/ cargo-related reporting formalities

- 2. Acting as one of the data processors linked to the data entry interface enabling authorized users to calculate / plan ship routes
- 3. Generating warnings enabling scheduling the timely submission of ship reporting formalities.

Data processing and storage (reference data)

This module will be used for processing/ storing reference information for ship operations (e.g. ship company, vessel/ crew, port/ port facility information) including history of changes in the reference data.

Reference data exchange

This module will comprise a message interface enabling:

- The update of the reference data maintained by the application (e.g. port locations) with information in external reference sources.
- The update of the reference data used by the application with updates in the reference data in an external database linked with the application.

Data processing and storage (ship operations)

This module will be used for processing/ storing data concerning ship voyages/ cargo and port calls enabling the clear distinction of information concerning the arrival and departure notification related to a port call. The module will handle all the information required to be stored process in line with the EU Directives.

Access control & security

This module will provide access control for the information managed by the application and secures the transactions.

Transaction tracing and logging

This module will be used for keeping logs of all transactions (e.g. a log of all the messages exchanged via the message interface or forms submitted via the web interface) managed by the application.

6.3 Proposal on a conceptual data model (Core objects)

Figure 12 and Table 3 below identify the core business objects proposed for the eMar domain model (this model would form the basis for a potential amendment of the CRS). The table is not intended to provide an exhaustive list of the objects that need to be introduced in the eMar data model but only those with relate to core functions of the applications that are functionally described in this report.



Figure 12 Logical model for maritime application/ Core business objects

Table 3 Core business concepts for eMar applications for ship/ cargo reporting formalities

Concept	Purpose/ Definition	Notes
Crew List	A class providing contact details for a Crew Member on a specified ship during one or more voyages. An "Organization" or "Contact" authorized to exchange information (provide or request/ receive and/ or both) by utilizing an application	
Crew Member	A class providing contact details and Identification for a Crew Member, on a specified ship.	A Crew Member is defined for a period of time, for a specific ship (e.g can be crew member for one or more voyages of the ship). This class could be associated to the Person class, if there is a need to maintain the personal information of a passenger for re-use
Custom message	A class providing the identification elements of a message sent to a Custom Authority providing details of one or more cargo consignments	Based on its content the Custom message consignment could be instantiated as an entry summary declaration (ENS) for goods of Non- Union status or an eManifest declaration containing data for goods of Union and Non Union Status
Dutiable Crew Effects	A class used to register all crew effects that may be dutiable.	e.g, If one crew member possesses both cigarettes and alcohol, the list contain two entries with the same Crew member Reference
eManifest	An electronic document containing consolidated cargo information for goods of Union or "Non Union" status	Although, based on the current state of discussions it appears that the manifest provided to Authorities shall be always submitted on the arrival of a ship in the port, the proposed model envisages the options for registering in the application of eManifest. For the purposes of this document an eManifest could be instantiated as: Cargo manifest consolidating information on all consignments on board during a voyage eManifest consolidating information on all consignments left for Temporary Storage in a port eManifest consolidating information on all consignments to be unloaded at a port on arrival. eManifest consolidating information on all consignments loaded on board on departure from a port
General Description of DG	A class providing information about the list of all dangerous goods onboard the ship during a passage	

Concept	Purpose/ Definition	Notes
Good Item	A "sister" class to item describing a separately identifiable quantity of goods of a single product type	
Health Declaration	A class containing general Health information related to ship during a passage	Depending on its content the IR report could be configured a a pollution notifying report, a "situation" report, a feedback report, etc.in line with the definitions within the XML RG v.07.Provides Information for the health condition of persons and animals on board the ship.
ltem	A class describing an item of trade	Interfaces in thus sense are the web interfaces made a available by an application to its users as well as the system2system interface between two applicationsIt includes a generic description applicable to all examples of the item together with optional subsidiary descriptions of any number of actual instances of the type
Location	A class describing a place (e.g. a port) visited by ship	
MDH Attachment	Maritime Declaration of Health is a class with list of health information related to a specific Passenger / Crew Member or Stowaway during a passage	
MRF message	A class providing the identification elements of a message exchanged between two applications. The message shall contain information related to ship reporting formalities for a given port Call	Depending of the its content the MRF message could be instantiated as a FAL form or a set of FAL forms or a 24h, 72h, waste security, etc. notification
Organisation	A class providing contact details for a public Authority or a private company identified in a notification and/ or sharing data using an application	
Passenger	A class providing contact details and Identification for a passenger.	This class could be associated to the Person class, if there is a need to maintain the personal information of a passenger for re-use
Passenger List	A class providing contact details for a List of passengers, for a specific ship passage.	
Person	A class providing basic information of a person	
Port Call	A class comprising all the information related to a visit of a ship to a port	For a PortCall two "child" classes could be also defined. The "VoyageArrivalNotice" class and the "VoyageDepartureNotice" class
Port facility	A class identifying a specific area in a port bound by specific security rules	

Concept	Purpose/ Definition	Notes
Security Information	A class that that contains some of the Security information for a ship that should be sent with Arrival Notice.	
Ship	A class comprising the ship identity identifiers and particulars of ship structure and operational status	A child class may describe the dynamic ship particulars changing during ship operations
Ship Certificate	A class providing details about the ship certificates	Main Ship certificates that are used are: Registration Certificate, Security Certificate and Health Certificate.
Ship Itinerary	Is class providing information for all the passages defined for a Cruise ship at a given period	
Ship position Notification	A class containing ship position information.	The position could be derived from sensors installed on board ships such as AIS or LRIT transponders and communicated to the eMar application via an appropriate protocol
Ship Store	A class with a description of the dutiable stores that the ship carries	This is a list of the ship's stores, including type, quantity and location onboard
Shipment Stage	A class describing one stage of movement in a transport of goods	
Stowaway	A class providing contact details for a Stowaway on Board	
Stowaway List	A class providing a list of Stowaways for a specific passage	
Transport Equipment	A class describing a piece of equipment used to transport goods	
Transport Handling Unit	A class describing a uniquely identifiable unit consisting of one or more packages, goods items, or pieces of transport equipment.	
Transport Means	A data set describing a particular vehicle or vessel used for the conveyance of goods or persons	
Voyage	(based on the definition used in the SSN XML RG v.07) A class the ship passage from the port of departure to the port of arrival (based on the definition used in the SSN XML RG v.07)	

Concept	Purpose/ Definition	Notes
Voyage Arrival	A class providing information related to the ship's	
Notice	arrival at the port of call	
Voyage Departure	A class providing information related to the ship's	
Notice	departure from a port of call	
Waste Disposal	A class with a list of waste per type, providing condition	
Information	for waste types on board the ship	
Waste Information	A class that contains general information on ship waste	This are the information that should be sent to a port in conjunction with
		an arrival as recommended by [MEPC 644] and as required by
		[2000/59/EC] for ships visiting European ports
Way-point	A class identifying a location at sea of interest where a	Could be used for defining the location/time of an incident at sea, the
	ship called at a given time-stamp	entry or exit location/ time to a reporting area at sea, etc.

6.4 The proposed content for the eMarCRS

Given the current state of practice³⁰, it is strongly recommended that an adaptation of the CRS is required. CRS should effectively "encompass" in its structure the capability to support the exchange of MRF and Custom messages in various formats. In this respect the amended CRS cannot be based on the exchange of a single type of "CRS" message as it was envisaged by the eFreight project but, instead, it should enable the transmission / reception and interpretation of messages that are provided in a variety of formats.

In this respect the eMAR_CRS should:

- Include data types that could be mapped to the existing standards or de-facto standards identified in the chapter 4. As a general rule the design of maritime formalities related data types (excluding those that are related to custom clearance) in the CRS would be based on ISO standard 28005-2, while the custom related data types definition would be based on ICS/ DDNIA. The WCO/ EDIFACT/ SSN data types would be directly or directly mapped into the eMar domain model and in the eMAR_CRS.
- 2. The following messages (and the associated operations related to the messages) should be included in the eMAR_CRS protocol and associated xsd and wsdl files.

6.4.1 ISO 28005 relevant messages

MRFNotification (ISO ClearanceRequest)/ MRFNCancel (ISO cancel) / MRFNReceipt (ISO Receipt)/ MRFNAck (ISO Ack) / MRFNComment (ISO comment)

6.4.2 ICS relevant messages

crs315/ crs318/ crs328/ crs313/ crs304/ crs305/ crs351/ crs318/ crs302/ crs303/ crs325/ crs324/ crs323 (relevant to the IE315/ IE318/ IE328/IE313/IE304/IE305/IE351/IE318/IE302/IE303/IE325/IE324/IE323)

6.4.3 AnNA messages

crsMAI, crsNOA, crsCOA, crsETA,crsATA,crsNOD, crsEXP, crsSEC, crsWAS, crsPAX, crsMDH, crsSTO, crsENS, crsSDT, crsHZA, crsHZD equivalent to the declarations included in the B2MSW message framework). In addition the messages (based on definitions still under definition by AnNA) completing the framework (e.g. the eManifest-related and the message with response to data provider confirming the receipt or failure in the submission of the declaration and the messages to be established for communication between the MSW (maritime single window) and the Authorities for dissemination of the information received from the data providers), should be included.

³⁰ Reference is made to the work of eMS, work of EMSA on ISO standard, work of AnNA on a WCO based specification, Industry wish to use existing EDIFACT/ XML mechanisms, ICS de-facto standard on ENS – refer to the Chapter 4
6.4.4 «Custom» messages to be used for complementary data exchange between eMAR_CRS-compliant business applications

- crsConsignment (will be an extension of IE315)
- crsManifest (will be an XML representation of EDIFACT CUSCAR including the additional information to be required for the eManifest)
- crsVoyagelDrequest (used by the Reporter@ship or Reporter@Agent I applications to request the voyage reference number from the Reporter@ShipOperator application)
- crsVoyageIDresponse (used by the Reporter@ShipOperator to provide the response)
- crsVoyageListUpdates (used by the Reporter@ShipOperator to provide the updates to the new ship voyages created in the Reporter@ShipOperator during a configurable period, e.g. the last hour)
- crsPortCallInformationUpdate (used by the Reporter@Agent or Reporter@Ship to provide updates of a shipcall to ensure synchronization with the data kept at Reporter@ShipOperator)

6.4.5 SSN reference messages

crsPortPlus_Not/ crsMS2SSNShipCall_Req/ crsSSN2MSShipCall_Res/ crsSSN2MSShipCall_Req/ crsMS2SSNShipCall_Res/ crsIncidentDetail_Not/ crsIncidentReport_Req/ crsIncidentReport_Res/ crsSSNReceip

6.4.6 «Custom» messages to be used for complementary data exchange between eMAR_CRS-compliant Authority applications and eMAR_CRS-compliant single windows31

crsPortAuthorityNotice/ crsBorderAthorityNotice/ crsDPGnotice / crsWasteNotice/ crsSecurityNotice/ crsPSCArrivalNotice/ crsPSC DepartureNotice/ crsPSC72hprearrivalNotice/ crsAuthorityReceipt

6.4.7 References related to message specifications

- 1. ISO/DIS: Electronic port clearance (EPC) —Part 1: Message structures Implementation of a maritime single window system
- 2. ISO 28005-2: Electronic port clearance (EPC) Part 2: Core Data elements
- 3. DG TAXUD Design Document for National Import Application (DDNIA)
- 4. Revised IMO compendium on facilitation and electronic business (FAL.5/Circ.40/ 4, July 2013)
- 5. AnNA project: MSW messages definition
- 6. SSN XML Reference guide (the final definite version 3.0 when shall become available)

³¹ For these are required, for consultation, the definitions to be introduced by AnNA for MSWtoAuthority messages

6.5 A proposal on the definition of the eManifest notification

The definition of the messages related to the eManifest exchange should be based on the present family on messages defined by DG TAXUD on ENS taking into consideration the relevant work conducted by AnNA project (e.g. on the definition of the good status indicator).

The proposal below assumes that the data of previously submitted ENSs or eManifests submitted on arrival to the loading port shall be pushed to (or could be requested by) the ports where goods are to be unloaded.

The "push" or "pull" of previously submitted ENS or eManifest could be achieved by establishing an exchange mechanism based on the utilization of SSN system or based on ICS.

The proposal below warranties to Industry the full re-use of data previously submitted via e.g. an ENS but also ensures to the Authorities (Custom or Maritime) full transparency on the cargo on-board the arriving ship. The proposal is also compatible with VTMIS Directive requirements and the requirements of Authorities because in the content of the message are included (given that the aggregation and/ or the update of the information is made by the Industry) all the necessary information enabling Authorities to trace previously submitted cargo declarations.

In summary the following proposal should be considered and further developed by the eMAR technical partners (consultation with European Commission and Industry is recommended for further fine-tuning of the proposal below).

eMANF_X	The eManifest will be submitted in a single modular message before the arrival of a ship to an EU port by an authorized reporting party
eMANF_X	The notification shall contain two parts Part1 : General Cargo declaration reffering to the cargo detailed in part 2 (corresponding to the FAL1 content submitted on arrival) Part2 : List of all the consignments placed on board by the reporting party or consignors that the reporting party represents
eMANF_X	For the consignments in part 2 on which details has been provided in a previous declaration to EU Custom Authorities and has not been updated since the consignment was last declared, the UCR and MRN number shall be provided only
eMANF_X	 Consignment details (description of goods items) shall be provided <u>only for</u> <u>those consignments</u> that: 1. Were first time loaded (original loading or transshipment) at the loading port of the ship for her current voyage and the goods nature did not require the lodgment of an ENS 2. Have an update to their data (e.g. because of a cargo diversion) and the goods nature did not require the lodgment of an ENS

6.6 Functional requirements applicable on the common modules of the eMar applications

6.6.1 Applicable definitions

The definitions below relate with other objects defined in the proposed eMar domain model that do not appear in the Figure 12 and in the Table 3. They are quoted below because their quotation is essential for the understanding of the functional requirements in the remainder of the chapter

Term	Definition
Access Component	 Access component in the domain model defines: A web interface that an Actor will use to notify or visualize data A way of interaction between an actor and an eMar-compliant application that the Actor shall utilize to notify data (e.g. a web service) A way of interaction made available to an Actor to receive and/ or request data from an external application connected to the one that the Actor uses
Actor	Actor is party (that is an organization or individual) authorized to access an application's resource and execute a number of functions based on a set of restrictions associated to the functions
Data Set	A data set defines a set of objects within the eMar domain model that allows an abstract grouping of data elements stored in the database of an eMar compliant application.
	 The content of a data set will directly reflect: A decision of an international for a about the data elements to be included in a specific data set that should be notified within a message (e.g. the "Port call" group or the "Pre-arrival 72 hrs notification" group as defined in the eMS data mapping) The data elements of a web form The data elements that are corresponding to a complex type and/ or a set of complex types defined in one of the CRS compliant formats (e.g. the complex type of ISO 28005-2 "epc:ShipIDType" containing the information on Ship identity could be defined in the domain model of an eMar-compliant application as a "data set"
	 For each attribute linked to a data set are maintained in the database information for: If its inclusion in the data set is mandatory or optional If it should be visible to the user completing a form related to the dataset If it represents a list of repeated data elements of the same type If it is valideatable and a textual reference to the business rule rule
Formality	A "formality" defines a set of objects within the eMar domain model that allows alternative groupings of data elements stored in the database of an eMar compliant application. The content of a "formality" will directly reflect a decision of an international for about the data elements to be forwarded to an Authority due to a legal requirement (e.g. the data element in the column A3-DPG or B1-FAL1 in the data mapping of eMs Group may define a "formality" set within the domain model of an eMar compliant application . Similarly the content of a declaration defined by AnNA , e.g. PAX", WAS or MDH may also define a formality)
Function	Function defines a set of pre-defined actions that an Actor may execute in case he (she) is duly authorized. Examples of functions are e.g. the "CargoConsignment_DataEntry"," CargoConsignment_DataConsult"
Group	Group class in the domain model identifies a set of roles that could be allocated to an Actor

Term	Definition
Organisation	Organisation is a class in the eMar domain model providing details on an organization, sub- organization, fulfilling a role in a business process.
Party	Party is a class in the eMar domain containing references to the organisations or persons whose data are maintained in the eMar application database
Person	A class in the domain model of eMar providing basic information of a person
Profile	Profile is a class in the domain model of eMar defining a business process or processes executed by an Organisation or individual ("person")
Role	Role class in the domain model identifies a set of functions that could be allocated to an Actor

6.6.2 epc Message transformer & interface

 To support the exchange of messages with external applications in a variety of formats, in the database of the eMar application shall be defined: The Access components (see definition in the section 6.6.1) that an Actor shall use to interact with external applications. The information to be stored shall be include all the required data to define the interface with the external application (protocol to be used (e.g. ISO 28005-2, SSN, CRS, etc), security-related tokens if any, etc.) The data sets (see definition in the section 6.6.1) mapping the message content for each protocol supported by the module

6.6.3 epc data entry and consultation

WEB_1	Web forms shall be implemented for all the functions requiring human computer interaction in support of a business process (e.g. preparation of a notification).
WEB_2	The content of each web form used to create/ update data in the database or to prepare an message shall be mapped to a data set in the database. The visibility of a specific web form field or its optionality shall be based in the definitions of the corresponding data set.
WEB_3	The main form utilized by users to interact with the application shall be a "dashboard" form whose design shall depend on the operational goals served by the eMar application. In the Figure 13 is provided an example wireframe for the dashboard to be made available for the "Port Call planner "ship reporter" application
WEB_4	 The application configuration shall allow the creation of visual warning in the dashboard (or eMail alerts) to warn users to take a required action. The visual warnings shall be based on colour codes. As an example the colour codes that have to be configured in the dashboard of the Port Call planner and Ship reported application are: Red, for submission of messages concerning formalities where the submission is already due. Yellow, for submission of messages concerning formalities in the next 3 hours. Green, for not urgent submissions. Blue, for Calls where all the required submissions have been made.

Call Identification, ETA/ATA PortOfCall, ETD/ATDPort of Call, Shape- based codes showing reporting formalities pending, Clearance status
y port , then by Notification Type (departure / arrival). Each column
Ships with no recent precise schedule
Ship IMO, MMSI, CALL, SIGN , Name , flag (icon) +magnifier icon to open Ship details page Sorted by ship name For users with relevant access write a create Ship button shall be presented too

Color codes (notification/ Voyage windows): Red (additional info must be sent – reporting threshold as per call profile violated), Yellow (threshold due to expire), Green (no violation of reporting threshold), Blue (all required data as per reporting profile were submitted

Figure 13 Wireframe of the dashboard for the Port Call planner & ship reporter application

6.6.4 Management and configuration

	Each oMar application shall include a set of web forms leach one corresponding to a data
	Each eMar application shall include a set of web forms (each one corresponding to a data
	set stored in the database) to allow the execution of administration functions by authorized administrators. The following generic configuration applies (to be customized for each
	specific eMar application)
	User Management
	Create Organisation
	Create Person
	• Create Actor (possible sub-tabs " clone" existing, "manual" creation)
	• Create Role (possible sub-tabs " clone" existing, "manual" creation
	Create Group
	Search/Update Organisation
	Search/Update Person
	Search/Update Actor
	Search/Update Group
	Search/Update Role
	Location Management
	Upload UNECE Location
	Upload port facility information
	Create Location/ Port facility
CONF_1	 Search/Update Location/ Port Facility
	Area Management
	Create IntArea
	Create SeaArea
	Create Country/ MID
	Create County
	Search/Update IntArea
	Search/Update Country/ MID
	Search/Update County
	Vessel Management
	Create Vessel
	Search/Update Vessel
	Application management
	Notification/ application parameters
	Dataset/ Formality management
	System Monitoring
	Web Users Activity Monitoring
	Logs
	 Search Logs (structured and free text search)

6.6.5 Data processing and storage (reference data)

PROCREF_1	UNECE database of LOCODES shall be utilized for the initial population with date of the LOCODE tables of the eMar application
PROCREF_2	IMO GISI database shall be the source of the codes used for port facilities
PROCREF_3	Equasis, LLI and HIS databases could be utilized for vessel information

6.6.6 Reference data exchange

6.6.7 Data processing and storage (ship operations)

	All the validations executed to the data elements exchanged with external applications shall be based to:
PROCOP_X	(As minimum requirement) To the business rules agreed by the MS as drawn within the relevant eMS Group documents and the eMS Group data mapping
	(as specific requirement) To the rules applicable for the specific message/ protocol (e.g. for the data elements in SSN Portplus, the data validations shall be based on the XML
	reference Guide v3.0)

6.6.8 Transaction tracing & logging

LOG_X	Logging must serve the purpose of audit trail of transactions. Notification Logs need to be available, and searchable.
	The XML content of messages related to a transaction will be stored in the database.

6.6.9 Access control & security

ACS_X	When a function is granted to an Actor as part of a role assigned to an actor, the function could be restricted in the way identified in the Table 5 below.
	could be restricted in the way identified in the rable's below.
ACS_X	An indicative list of functions that are to be supported by the eMar application (depending on
	their operational scope) is include below in the Table 6
ACS_X	Table 3 provides a list of roles that could be allowed for an Actor based on their business profile (this profile is created when the information related the Organisation or Person
	information for the Actor is stored in the database).
	Within a role shall be grouped functions that a user have permissions to execute. E.g. a user
ACS_X	Each actor shall be long to a Group. Within each group the application Administrator may

	group a number of roles.
ACS_X	When functions are assigned to a role a default set of permissions is defined for the function
	(the default restrictions are defined in the Table 6. When however the role is assigned to an
	Actor the administrator may change the set of restrictions associated to the function.
ACS_X	The way restrictions applicable for a function are enforced (AND or OR relationship) is
	configurable by the administrator when a role is created

Functional Role ³²	Description	Comment
Agriculture authority	Competent authority for Agriculture	Admittance of agricultural products.
Clearance authority	Competent authority for vessel clearance.	Entry/exit clearances of vessels before entry/exit to/from territorial areas, ports, etc. The clearance process may also involve coordination with other authorities.
Customs authority	Competent authority for the cross- border movement of goods	Levying of duties and taxes on imported goods. Control over the export and import of goods such as control over prohibited goods and security purposes.
Defense authority	Competent authority for defense.	Protection of the territorial waters against foreign armed forces.
Health authority	Competent authority for public health.	Entry of people or objects that may cause a health risk.
Immigration authority	Competent authority for immigration.	Enforcement of regulations and laws applicable to persons requesting entry to a country or territory.
Policing authority	Competent authority for policing.	Enforcement of civil law applicable to vessels and their presence in territorial waters.
Port State inspection authority	Competent authority for the inspection of ships visiting ports.	Port State inspection (of coastal State). Inspection of certificates, adherence to safety regulations and testing of safety and other equipment.
Registry authority	Competent authority for ship registry (flag State).	Establishment and maintenance of ship registry. Issues certificate of registry.
SAR authority	Competent authority for search and rescue (SAR).	Responsible for the SAR policy for an area and for bilateral agreements on SAR regions.
Safe working inspection authority	Competent authority for the use of equipment.	Responsible for rules and regulations on how equipment is used in relation to transport, loading, unloading and trans- shipment.
Safe working procedures authority	Competent authority for healthy and safe work procedures.	Responsible for rules and regulations on how work related to transport, loading, unloading and transshipment is executed.
Safety authority	Competent authority for safety at sea.	Responsible for emergency response and the final decisions on how to handle emergencies or incidents, e.g. decisions on place of
		refuge to be used.

Table 4 Roles that could be assigned to an Actor based on their business profile

³² For the roles related to Authorities see FAL.5/Circ.36 (9 November 2011) GUIDELINES FOR SETTING UP A SINGLE WINDOW SYSTEM IN MARITIME TRANSPORT

Functional Role ³²	Description	Comment
Ship inspection authority	Competent authority for ship inspections and the implementation of IMO and national rules on flag State ships.	Flag State inspection (of flag State).Inspection of certificates, adherence to safety regulations and testing of safety and other equipment.
Statistics authority	Competent authority for statistics and systematic collection of data and facts.	
Veterinary authority	Competent authority for animals (dead or alive).	Entry/exit of animals and animal products.
Environmental authority	Competent authority for environmental protection.	Protection and preservation of the marine environment and marine species.
Waste authority	Competent authority for compliance with legislation on waste.	Monitoring and reporting of waste disposals from ships (according to legislation on waste). Compliance with legislation on waste.
Pollution response authority	Competent authority with respect to pollution.	The establishment of rules and regulations with respect to pollution control.
Local security authority	Competent authority with respect to security in ports.	Enforcement of ISPS Code.
Local safety authority	Competent authority with respect to nautical safety in local areas.	Needs information about dangerous goods, use of port facilities, etc.
VTMS authority	Competent authority for the definitions of vessel traffic management system (VTMS) areas and for the regulations concerning these areas. Also responsible for the enforcement of laws and regulations for transport and maritime traffic.	Knowledge of the position of vessels in the territorial waters. Establishment of regulations for transport and maritime traffic. Enforcement of laws and regulations for transport and maritime traffic.
Ship representatives roles (indicative)	VoyagePlanner, Call Reporter (Global) , Ship Master, Company Security Officer, Call Reporter (National), Call Reporter (Local),	
Cargo partners roles (indicative)	Cargo Administrator, Authorised Consignor, Registered Carrier CargoAgent	
Application Administrator roles (indicative)	ApplAdmin, SystemAdministrator, CompanyAdministrator, FleetAdministrator	

Table 5 Access Right types

Restriction	Note
Fleet	The function assigned to an actor is restricted by the ships related to the Actor (ref table ActorFleet)
Company	The function assigned to an actor is restricted to all the ships operated by the Company he (she) works and/ or represent (ref ActorCompany table)
SeaArea	The function assigned to an actor is restricted by the Sear Areas related to the Actor (ref table ActorSeaArea)
IntArea	The function assigned to an actor is restricted by the International Areas related to the Actor (ref table ActorInternationalArea)
Country	The function assigned to an actor is restricted to all the locations of the countries related to the actor (ref: ActorCountry table)
County	The function assigned to an actor is restricted to all the locations of the Counties related to the actor (ref: ActorCounty table)
Location	The function assigned to an actor is restricted actor is restricted to all the locations of the Counties related to the actor (ref: ActorCounty table)
Flag	The function assigned to an actor is restricted to all the ships carrying specific flags (ref table ActorFlag)
PSCOffice	The function assigned to an actor is restricted to the port locations belonging to specific PSCOffice
Actor	(Use only for "delegation" type of functions. It designates the actor that will execute an operation "on behalf" of another actor
Formality	The action to be taken based on a function where this restriction applies relates to specify formality from those listed in the formality table.
None	No restriction applicable.
"0wn"	Applicable only for the PersonalData_Management function to designate that an actor has the right to edit/ change his (her) personal information
ISO28005	Defines the data transmission protocol as based on ISO28005 messages
B2MSW	Defines the data transmission protocol as based on B2MSV messages
ICS	Defines the data transmission protocol as based on DG TAXUD ICS messages
EDIFACT	Defines the data transmission protocol as based on EDIFACT messages
CRS	Defines the data transmission protocol as based on eMar CRS messages
SSN	Defines the data transmission protocol as based on SSN messages (based on SSN XML reference guide)

Table 6 Functions and their default set of restrictions (indicative)

Function name	Description	Applicable restrictions (default at function level)	Notes
Actor_management	Manage actor accounts.	None, Company, Country	
Role_management	Manage role definition.	None	
Group_management	Manage group definition	None	
Function_management	Assign/ Edit default restrictions associated to a function	None	
AccessComponent_Management	Manage Access component definition	None	
DataSet_definition	Manage (create/ update/ delete) datasets of interest to the NSW	None	
TransportMeans_management	Manage transport means (including ships, road vehicles, train/ wagon) definition.	None, flag	
OrganisationPerson_management	Manage organization/ person definition	None, Country	
PersonalData_management	Manage the personal information and credential of an Actor that is a "person"	None, Country, Own	
LocationPortFacility_management	Manage single location definition.	None, Country, County, Location, PSCOffice.	
Country_management	Manage country definition	None	
Area_management	Manage definition of areas (county, InArea, SeaArea, etc.)	None, Country	
VoyageShipCall_Data_Entry	Permission to insert (create/ update, delete) information related to shipment stages/ voyages. Shipcalls/ voyage itineraries via the web interface of the application	None, Fleet, Company, IntArea, Country, County, Location, Flag	
VoyageShipCall_Data_Consult	Permission to consult information related to shipment stages/ voyages. Shipcalls/ voyage itineraries via the web interface of the application	None, Fleet, Company, IntArea, Country, County, Location, Flag	
CargoConsignment_DataEntry	Permission to insert (create/ update, delete) information related to cargo consignments via the web interface of the application	None, Fleet, Company, IntArea, Country, County, Location, Flag	
CargoConsignment_DataConsult	Permission to consult information related to cargo consignments via the web interface of the application	None, Fleet, Company, IntArea, Country, County, Location, Flag	

eMar D4.2

Function name	Description	Applicable restrictions (default at function level)	Notes
Formality_provision	Permission enabling an actor to provide information	None, Fleet, Company, SeaArea,	Within a role this function can be assigned
	contained in the specific data-groups related to the	IntArea, Country, County, Location,	several times to an actor in order to
	formality assigned here	Flag, PSCOffice, Formality	identify the declarations that the actor is
			allowed to report and/ or consult (e.g. if a
			function "Formality:provision (waste)" is
			assigned to an actor he (she) may submit a
			waste notification
Formality_consultation	Permission enabling an actor to consult information	None, Fleet, Company, SeaArea,	
	contained in the specific data-groups related to the	IntArea, Country, County, Location,	
	formality assigned here	Flag, PSCOffice, Formality	
Clearance	Permission to provide approval or denial of clearance for	None, Fleet, Company, SeaArea,	This is needed only for the NSW application
	the specific formality related to the function	IntArea, Country, County, Location,	
		Flag, PSCOffice, Formality	
DelegationTo_enable	If the delegation_enable function is assigned to a user,	ActorAccessComponent, Actor,	The access component to be used will be
	then the MRF or custom message exchange with an		chosen on the basis of the location related
	external application will be made utilizing the relevant		to the submission
	AccessComponent associated to the Actor defined for the		
	delegationTo_ enable function		
Waypoint_definition		None, Fleet, SeaArea	
DataSearch	Enable the search of data in the database	None, Fleet, Company, SeaArea,	
		IntArea, Country, County, Location,	
		Flag, PSCOffice	
ShipPosition_Visualisation	Enables Ship position visualization on a map	None, Fleet, Company, SeaArea	
PointOrShapeVisualisation	Enables a point or a shape object visualization on a map	None, Fleet, Company, SeaArea,	
		IntArea, Country, County, Location,	
		Flag, PSCOffice	
DataExchangeProtocol	Defines the protocol to be used for exchanging messages	ISO28005, B2MSW, ICS, EDIFACT, CRS,	Function related to Access components
		SSN, country, intArea	
ShipPosition_consultation	Enables Ship position consultation vi the web interface	None, Fleet, Company, SeaArea	
			1

7 Concluding remarks

The great challenge in the forthcoming years, requiring effort and investments from all the stakeholders in the e-Maritime field, Industry and Administrations, relates with the implementation of the National Single Windows and the implementation of the interoperability framework between the IT systems of Maritime and Customs Users Communities.

Industry is looking forward to a simplification of the applicable reporting procedures. Industry hopes that the process towards this simplification would be initiated with the implementation of NSWs and the promotion of initiatives such as BlueBelt in a operational service. This service could be based in a more proactive and efficient utilization of available systems, like the SSN and the implementation of a harmonized eManifest.

The eMar project could play a role in this evolution by devising reference specifications and intelligent application design concepts, which could be utilized by Industry and Administrations in their applications. This report provides proposals on the direction to be taken, in terms of IT developments within the eMar project. As a first step a modification of the CRS should take place (based on the conceptual model presented in this document). In parallel IT partners in eMar should consider, for their further work in the project, the conceptual design approach proposed in section 6.2.

The editing team of this report will continue developing further the functional requirements concerning each application proposed here-in with the objective to include them in the final version of this document.

eMar D4.2

Part III – Appendices

8 Appendix A: The VTMIS Directive

9 Appendix B: The reporting formalities Directive

10 Appendix C: NSW implementation in Europe / The business rules for data exchange agreed by the Countries implementing the reporting formalities Directive

The page is intentionally left blank as in the printed version of the deliverable it will be replaced by the data mapping table of the eMS.

eMar D4.2